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Abstract

We use a dynamic CGE model of China with a financial module and sectoral detail to examine the real and nominal impacts of a nominal exchange rate appreciation alone, fiscal policy alone and a combined fiscal and monetary package to redress China’s external imbalance. The exchange rate policy alone is ineffective in both the short run and long run at reducing China’s current account surplus. Fiscal policy is less effective than a combination of fiscal and monetary policy in reducing the surplus.
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1. Introduction

China has had an unprecedented impact on global markets since the late 1990s. By the end of 2008, China’s foreign-exchange reserves were about US$2 trillion, almost twice their level of two years earlier. This elevated China to number one in reserves held in the world. This sum is more than sufficient to buy all the gold sitting in central banks’ vaults. China’s official reserves already far exceed what is required to ensure financial stability (European Central Bank, 2006). As a rule of thumb, a country needs enough foreign exchange to cover three months’ imports or to settle its short-term foreign debt. China’s reserves can almost cover two years of imports.

The explosion in reserves has resulted in excess liquidity. It may have fuelled higher inflation within China and contributed to asset price bubbles in China and elsewhere. China’s reserves resulted in lower-than-otherwise global interest rates. The ready availability of funds appears to have led to imprudent lending practices, culminating in the sub-prime mortgage crisis in the United States. Years before the global financial crisis, reducing the size of global imbalances had been identified as a priority, particularly in China and the United States.

This paper outlines a recursive-dynamic general equilibrium model of the Chinese economy – referred to hereafter as the Financial Applied General Equilibrium (FAGE) model. This model combines financial with sectoral detail: the latter provides compositional detail that extends beyond macroeconomic models. The application of FAGE in this paper is to hypothetical scenarios concerning how China may have dealt with its external imbalance problem in the years leading up to the global financial crisis. We report three simulations. First, we simulate monetary policy alone: a nominal appreciation of the renminbi. Then, we simulate an expansionary fiscal package. Finally, we combine this fiscal package with accommodating monetary policy.

1.1. Causes of China’s external imbalance

China’s massive hoard is the result of its large current account surplus, significant inward foreign direct investment, and big inflows of speculative capital over the past couple of years as shown in Figure 1. China’s current account surplus has expanded substantially over recent years. It stood at US$32.1 billion (1.7 per cent of GDP) in 2004, but then it started to take off in 2005 and rose to US$102.0 billion (4.5 per cent of GDP), US$177.5 billion (6.5 per cent of GDP) in 2006, US$261.8 billion (7.8 per cent of GDP) in 2007, and then US$295.5 billion (about 8 per cent of GDP) in 2008.1

The upsurge in China’s global trade surplus since 2004 has been attributed variously to productivity growth, increases in savings and sterilisation, with different implications for China’s exchange rate policy (Goldstein & Lardy, 2008; Bernanke 2005, 2007; and Mussa, 2008).

---

1 Source: China data online, http://chinadataonline.org/, which is authorised by the National Bureau of Statistics of China.
1.2. Sustainability of China’s external imbalance

One of the reasons in support of accumulating reserves is that the Asian crisis of the late 1990s has created a high degree of risk aversion. A perception is that countries that allowed the exchange rate to be used as an avenue of adjustment suffered the most adverse economic consequences. For example, the collapse of the Indonesian rupiah in 1997 resulted in economic hardship. The other reason may be that China is enjoying the global influence given to her by having huge reserves. Such reserves allow her to provide investment and aid to other emerging economies. Nonetheless, holding such giant reserves is not sustainable and the continued reserves accumulation over time entails some potential costs and risks.

Costs of accumulating reserves

A number of studies (Goldstein & Lardy, 2006; Lardy, 2006; Prasad et al., 2005) conclude that China’s fixed exchange rate already has diminished the effectiveness of monetary policy. This erosion is likely to continue. One reason is that sterilisation can be used only as a temporary tool for controlling the growth of domestic liquidity. When the currency is increasingly undervalued, it is necessary over time to sell greater quantities of bonds to acquire the funds necessary for sterilisation. This in turn causes an increase in the interest rate the central bank must pay on bonds. Eventually, the interest the central bank pays could exceed its earnings from its holdings of interest-bearing foreign currency–denominated financial assets, thereby constraining sterilisation. Additionally, with the increase in yields from the central bank’s bonds, foreign capital would be attracted into the domestic market, imposing upward demand pressure on the exchange rate. This would require more sterilisation and the system would enter a self-reinforcing loop.
Sterilization ceased to be effective in around 2004 due to the above interest-bearing constraint. Consequent excess liquidity led to an increase in the consumer price index (CPI) from -0.8 per cent in 2002 to 3.9 per cent in 2004 and 4.8 per cent in 2007, alongside signs of overinvestment and local property price bubbles. Overinvestment has resulted in investment as a share of GDP rising substantially since 2000 (Table 1).

Table 1: Components of GDP in China from 1990 – 2008 (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumption</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gov Spending</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment</strong></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Export</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover, Chinese authorities have frequently been slow to raise the general level of interest rates for fear of attracting higher levels of capital inflows. They rely more on “open window operations” and required reserves to control the growth of money supply. Even if the central bank can successfully persuade the commercial banks to purchase their low-yielding bills and further increase required reserves, these would have an adverse impact on the profitability of banks, hindering their transition to operation on a fully commercial basis (Yu, 2007). Goldstein and Lardy (2008) note that the central bank, having sold all of its holdings of treasury bonds, began to issue central bank bills to sterilise increases in the domestic money supply in 2003. By the end of June 2007, total outstanding central bank bills held by commercial banks had reached RMB3.8 trillion. From mid-2003 through September 2007, the central bank also raised the required reserve ratio for banks by 50 or 100 basis points 12 times. This took the required reserves ratio from 6 per cent of deposits to 12.5 per cent. This compelled banks to deposit with the central bank RMB2 trillion more than would have been the case if the required reserve ratio had remained at 6 per cent. The yield on three-month central bank bills in 2007 was only about 3 per cent, and the central bank paid only 1.89 per cent on required reserves. Goldstein and Lardy (2008) concluded that the RMB5.7 trillion increase in bank holdings of these low-yielding assets represented a large implicit tax on Chinese banks. That tax in 2006 was two-thirds of the pre-tax profits of the entire Chinese banking system.

Risks of accumulating reserves

First, one of the risks associated with the huge reserves accumulation is the potential capital loss on the dollar assets should there be an eventual appreciation of the RMB (Goldstein & Lardy, 2006). According to the definition of revaluation gain/loss

\[ REV = FXR^{S,0} \left( \frac{1}{E^1} - \frac{1}{E^0} \right) + dFXR^S \left( \frac{1}{E^1} - \frac{1}{E} \right) \]

where \( FXR^{S,0} \) stands for the start-of-year dollar foreign assets in central bank, \( E^0, E \) and \( E^1 \) are the start-, mid- and end-of-year nominal exchange rate (in $/¥), \( dFXR^S \) is the new dollar asset accumulated during the year. When foreign assets (\( FXR^{S,0} \)) are large, an RMB appreciation (i.e. an increase of \( E^1 \)) would result in substantial losses for the central bank (i.e. \( REV \) would be a big negative value).
Second, as sterilisation becomes ineffective, further increases in foreign reserves not only increase the liquidity of the domestic market, but can also increase global liquidity. Excess liquidity in China may flow to other countries with relatively lax sterilisation policies. With wide-spread increased liquidity comes increased risk of property and stock market bubbles, both domestically and globally. These floods of liquidity from emerging economies (e.g. China) appear to have been a root of the financial crisis starting in 2008.

Another risk of a fixed exchange rate regime and huge reserves is to create structural distortions or capital misallocations across industries in China. If the real exchange rate for China does not appreciate very much, it will be difficult to reduce investment in tradable-goods industries relative to nontradable-goods industries. This runs the risk of creating excess capacity in tradable-goods industries when, inevitably, a real appreciation occurs in the future.

Last but not least, China’s fixed rate has been a sensitive issue in the international debate on trade and exchange rate policy. Global trade imbalances raise the risk of trade protectionism and anti-dumping policy, especially in the atmosphere of global recession that started in 2008.

2. An outline of the macro theory and database of FAGE

FAGE includes the usual microeconomic theory of dynamic, CGE models (Dixon, et al. 1982; Dixon & Rimmer, 2002). That is, producers seek to minimise costs subject to a technology constraint. Consumers maximize utility subject to a budget constraint. Investors follow a rate-of-return rule, and investment flows are linked to capital stocks through time.

The theory of constructing the database for these Monash-type models is documented by Dixon & Rimmer (2002). The core database of the model is based on the 2002 input-output table of China and was prepared by Horridge & Wittwer (2008). The structure of the database for the financial module is discussed by Xiao (2009). The sources of the data for the financial module in FAGE are the Chinese Statistic Bureau and the People’s Bank of China.

In this section, we present simplified equations dealing with the macro linkages between the real and financial modules of FAGE. This will provide us with a framework with which we can explain the results of the simulations that follow. Such a framework will not provide a complete explanation of the results, in so far as some of the issues arising from China’s exchange rate policies extend beyond the macroeconomic level to that of industry composition. This is because recent policies have biased economic activities away from non-traded sectors to traded sectors. We will defer explanations of composition till the next section.

2.1. The equations

Eq. (1) is a simplified production function in which output \( Y \) is a function of capital \( K \), labour \( L \) and technology \( A \).

\[
Y = A \cdot \Psi[K, L]
\]  (1)
The $\Psi[.]$ term found in all the equations in this paper indicate a monotonically increasing function, particularly with Eq. (1) also exhibiting constant returns to scale. Eq. (2) is the real GDP identity from the expenditure side.

$$Y = C + G + I + X - M$$

(2)

Eq. (3) defines the ratio of private consumption ($C$) to government expenditure ($G$) as $\Gamma_{C/G}$, which can be fixed (exogenous) or allowed to change (endogenous). Eq. (4) calculates the sum of $C$ and $G$ as a ratio of real output (i.e., average propensity to consume, $APC$).

$$C / G = \Gamma_{C/G}$$

(3)

$$C + G = APC \cdot Y$$

(4)

In this simplified framework, investment ($I$) in the short run is determined by Tobin’s $q$, which is the ratio of the return on capital ($ROC$, net of depreciation) to the real weighted average cost of capital ($RWACC$), as described in Eq. (5).

$$I = \Psi[\frac{ROC}{RWACC}]$$

(5)

Eq. (6) shows that the balance of trade ($X-M$) is a function of the real exchange rate ($ER$). An increase in the real exchange rate would reduce the competitiveness of domestic goods and lead to a deterioration of the trade surplus, i.e. net exports decrease.

$$X - M = \Psi[\frac{1}{ER}]$$

(6)

Eq. (7) defines the real exchange rate, which is the nominal exchange rate ($E$) times domestic price level ($P$) divided by the rest-of-world’s (ROW) price level ($P^*$).

$$ER = E \cdot \frac{P}{P^*}$$

(7)

Eq. (8) and (9) determine the return on capital ($ROC$) and the capital-labour ratio.

$$ROC = \Psi[A, \frac{L}{K}, TOFT]$$

(8)

$$\frac{K}{L} = \Psi[\frac{1}{A}, \frac{W}{TOFT}, \frac{1}{TOFT}]$$

(9)

They are derived from the market conditions of marginal product of capital ($MPK$) and marginal product of labour ($MPL$):

$$P_g \cdot MPK \left[ \frac{L}{K} \right] = P_k$$

(10)
\[
P_g \cdot MPL \left[ \frac{K}{L} \right] = W
\]

where \( P_g, P_k \) and \( W \) are the price index for GDP, rental price of capital goods and nominal wages, respectively. Both \( MPK[\cdot] \) and \( MPL[\cdot] \) represent monotonically increasing functions. In addition, these functions also exhibit diminishing marginal returns. These two market conditions are valid as long as the economy is in equilibrium.

From here Eq. (10) can be rewritten as:

\[
MPK \left[ \frac{L}{K} \right] = \frac{P_L}{P_g}
\]

or equivalently,

\[
MPK \left[ \frac{L}{K} \right] = \frac{P_L}{P_i} \cdot \frac{P_i}{P_g} = (ROC + \delta) \cdot \frac{P}{P_g}
\]

where \( P_i \) is the price index for capital goods, and \( P_i / P_g \) is the gross return on capital (\( ROC \) plus depreciation rate).

Similarly for marginal product of labour (\( MPL \)) in Eq. (11), we have

\[
MPL \left[ \frac{K}{L} \right] = \frac{W}{P_g}
\]

or equivalently,

\[
MPL \left[ \frac{K}{L} \right] = \frac{W}{P_{epl}} \cdot \frac{P_{epl}}{P_g} = W' \cdot \frac{P_{epl}}{P_g}
\]

where \( P_{epl} \) is the price index for consumer goods, and \( W / P_{epl} \) is the real wage (\( W' \)).

In these equations, \( P_i / P_g \) and \( P_{epl} / P_g \) can be interpreted as decreasing functions of the terms of trade (\( TOFT \), the ratio of export prices to import prices), because the price indexes for capital and consumer goods include import prices but not export prices whereas the GDP deflator includes export prices but not import prices.

From the definition of the CES production function, the marginal product of capital (\( MPK \)), i.e. the LHS of Eq. (13), is an increasing function of technology (\( A \)) and the labour-capital ratio, \( (L/K) \). Multiplying \( P_i / P_g \) on both sides of Eq. (13), yields an increasing function of \( A, L/K, \) and \( TOFT \) on the LHS equal to gross return on capital on the RHS.

\[
A \cdot f \left[ \frac{L}{K} \right] \frac{P_i}{P_g} = (ROC + \delta) \cdot \frac{P}{P_g} \cdot \frac{P}{P_g}
\]

Given that the depreciation rate (\( \delta \)) remain unchanged, moving \( ROC \) to the left and the other terms (\( A, L/K, \) and \( TOFT \)) to the right gives us Eq. (8).
Similarly, the marginal product of labour \( MPL \) on the LHS of Eq. (15), is an increasing function of technology \( A \) and the capital-labour ratio, \( K/L \). Dividing by \( A \) on both sides, shows that the capital-labour ratio, \( K/L \), is a function of \( A, W^r \), and \( TOFT \) as shown in Eq. (9).

Next, we define the real weighted average cost of capital \( RWACC \) as a function of the nominal weighted average cost of capital \( WACC \) discounted by expected inflation \( INF^e \).

\[
RWACC = \frac{1 + WACC}{1 + INF^e} - 1
\]  

(17)

The demand for credit, i.e. investment, is equal to the available real credit supply \( CRD^r \):

\[
I = CRD^r
\]  

(18)

The supply for real credit \( CRD^r \) is equal to the real domestic saving \( Y-C-G \), less the increase of real currency holding \( dCUR^r \), plus net capital inflows in real terms \( NCI^r \) and the increase of monetary instruments of the central bank \( dMI^r \):

\[
CRD^r = Y - C - G - dCUR^r + NCI^r + dMI^r
\]  

(19)

We define the change in the monetary instruments held by the central bank \( dMI^r \) as equal to the change of claims on commercial banks \( dCLM^r \) less the change of required reserves \( dRR^r \). For simplicity, we exclude the relatively small net domestic interest payments of the central bank:

\[
dMI^r = dCLM^r - dRR^r
\]  

(20)

Eq. (21) states that the real net capital inflow \( NCI^r \) is a function of the relative returns between domestic assets and ROW assets held by foreign investors.

\[
NCI^r = \Psi\left[\frac{1 + WACC^* \cdot APP^e}{1 + WACC}\right] \cdot \frac{1}{ER}
\]  

(21)

Eq. (21) is derived from the optimisation behaviour of foreign investors. Based on the discussion in Appendix A1, the net capital inflow in dollar term can be expressed as

\[
NCI^s = TF^s \cdot \Psi\left[\frac{1 + WACC^* \cdot APP^e}{1 + WACC}\right]
\]  

(22)

where

\( TF^s \) is the dollar amount of total funds of foreign investors,

\( WACC \) and \( WACC^* \) are the weighted average cost of capital in China and ROW,

\( APP^e \) is the expected appreciation of the nominal exchange rate (Appendix A2).
For example, if foreign investors expect the rates of return of Chinese investments to increase relative to the ROW’s rates, or they expect Chinese RMB to appreciate, they would increase their lending to China and $NCI^S$ would increase.

The amount of total funds in dollar ($TF_i^{5,1}$) can be expressed as the total funds in real term times the overseas price level, i.e. $TF_i^{1} \cdot P_i^{\ast}$. Given that the real total funds ($TF_i^{1}$) is exogenous, we can simplify the net capital inflow in dollar terms as

$$NCI^S = P^\ast \cdot \Psi\left[\frac{(1+WACC) \cdot APP^e}{1+WACC^e}\right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

The net capital inflow in real terms can be expressed as the net capital inflow in Chinese RMB (i.e. $NCI^S / E$) divided by the Chinese domestic price level:

$$NCI' = \frac{NCI^S / E}{P} = \frac{NCI^S}{E \cdot P}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Again, given the real exchange rate, $ER$, defined as Eq. (7), we substitute Eq. (23) into Eq. (24) to derive Eq. (21).

Based on the Baumol-Tobin model of the transition demand for money, we define the real currency demand (i.e. nominal demand divided by price level) as a function of real consumption ($C$) and the rates of return of other non-cash assets ($WACC$):

$$CUR' = \frac{CUR}{P} = C \cdot \Psi\left[\frac{1}{WACC}\right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Eq. (26) shows the relationship between expected and current inflation. Similarly, we link the expected appreciation of the exchange rate to actual appreciation via Eq. (27). Both expected inflation and expected appreciation follow an adaptive expectations mechanism as discussed in Appendix A2.

$$INF^e = \Psi[\hat{P}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

$$APP^e = \Psi[\hat{E}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Finally, the change in the balance of payments (or increase of foreign reserves in real terms) is the sum of the current account surplus (approximately, $X-M$) and the capital account surplus ($NCI'$).

$$dFXR^e = X - M + NCI'$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)


2.2. Macro closure

The model closure describes the economic environment under which simulations are conducted and is essential to understanding the results generated. Table 2 shows which macroeconomic variables that appeared in the previous section remain exogenous for all simulation described in this paper. Our simulations adopt the policy closure discussed in Dixon & Rimmer (2002, pp.268-274), with the additional option of a pegged or floating exchange rate (Table 3).

Table 2: Description of variables set as exogenous in simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Output-augmenting technical change</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>Physical capital stock</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_{CG}$</td>
<td>Consumption / government expenditure ratio</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$APC$</td>
<td>Average propensity to consume</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P^*$</td>
<td>ROW price level</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^r$</td>
<td>Real wage</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dCLM$</td>
<td>Change in central bank’s claim on commercial banks</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dRR$</td>
<td>Change in required reserves</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$WACC^*$</td>
<td>ROW nominal weighted average cost of capital</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a fixed exchange rate regime, the country chooses to exogenise its nominal exchange rate [i.e. $E$ in Eq. (7)] by endogenising the change in its foreign reserves [i.e. $dFXR$ in Eq. (28)]. A country with a floating exchange rate chooses not to intervene in the foreign exchange market (i.e. holding its official foreign reserves constant), leaving its nominal exchange rate endogenous. To model the Chinese economy, our closure reflects a fixed exchange rate regime.

Table 3: Closures for two exchange rate regimes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exogenous</th>
<th>Endogenous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed exchange rate regime</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>$dFXR$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating exchange rate regime</td>
<td>$dFXR$</td>
<td>$E$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Policy simulations and analysis

3.1. Impacts of an appreciation of the nominal exchange rate

Some analysts initially concentrated on monetary policy (Goldstein 2003; Lardy 2005; Cappiello and Ferrucci 2008) in redressing China’s external and internal imbalance. However, these analysts also recognized the need for institutional reforms that are necessary to accommodate more flexible exchange rate policy, and advised against a sudden transition to a fully floating exchange rate. More recently, there has been a shift towards recognizing the role of fiscal adjustments: Goldstein (2004) acknowledged such a role, a point echoed by Lardy (2009) in the wake of the global financial crisis. There appears to be a growing census (Eichengreen 2006; McKinnon & Schnabl, 2009) that China requires a combination of fiscal and monetary policies to redress its external imbalance, reflected in massive trade surpluses, and internal balance, reflected in inflation and employment.

The large trade deficit which the U.S. has run up over the past decade has even prompted some policy makers in the U.S. to try and persuade the Chinese government to appreciate their currency. At the political level, at least, the belief has persisted that this would have helped the global economy, in particular in reducing the massive trade deficits of the U.S. But would such an appreciation of the Chinese RMB really correct this huge trade imbalance? Also, what are the dynamic impacts of a nominal exchange rate appreciation on the Chinese economy? We use the FAGE model to address these important questions in this following section.

In this policy simulation we analyse the results of a scenario in which China, under a fixed exchange rate regime appreciates its currency by 1 per cent (shock $E$ by 1 per cent) in the first year (i.e. 2003) and keeps its exchange rate fixed in subsequent years. Figures 2 to 4 show that a 1 per cent appreciation of the nominal exchange rate has only a short-run real impact, but no long-run real effect. Notably, for the short-run real effect, the given appreciation would not yield the desired outcome for the policy makers of reducing the trade surplus. Instead, our model shows that appreciating the Chinese currency would in fact increase the trade surplus through an increase in exports and decrease in imports. This result will be explained in more detail later. In nominal terms, the domestic consumer price index (CPI) is found to decrease by 1 per cent in the long run as shown in Figure 5.
Figure 2: Dynamic paths of GDP expenditure variables after 1% appreciation of RMB in 2003 (% deviation from baseline)

Figure 3: Dynamic paths of GDP supply-side variables after 1% appreciation of RMB in 2003 (% deviation from baseline)
Figure 4: Dynamic paths of rate of return variables after 1% appreciation of RMB in 2003
(ordinary change from baseline)

Figure 5: Dynamic paths of nominal variables after 1% appreciation of RMB in 2003
(% deviation from baseline)
Explaining the long-run effect

Figures 2 to 4 show the real macro impacts of the simulations converge towards their baseline values in the long run. Now, we use the macro theory of the model to explain why this is so. Through adaptive expectations, the deviation in inflationary expectations, $INF^e$, converges to zero and the nominal exchange rate appreciation expectations, $APP^e$, to one in the long run.

In the financial market, the ratios in Eq. (5) and Eq. (21),

$$\frac{ROC}{RWACC} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(1+WACC) \cdot APP^e}{1+WACC},$$

are implicitly fixed in the long run. ROW’s weighted average cost of capital ($WACC^*$) is exogenous and $APP^e$ converges to one in the long run. This implies that the domestic weighted average cost of capital ($WACC$) must remain unchanged. With a fixed $WACC$ and an inflation expectation ($INF^e$) of zero, Eq. (17) yields a fixed real weighted average cost of capital ($RWACC$). Subsequently, given a fixed ratio of $ROC$ and $RWACC$, we know that the rate of return on capital ($ROC$) should also be unchanged. That is, capital is mobile in the long run since there is sufficient time for all adjustment to occur via changes in capital stocks through investment, thereby restoring rates of return to baseline levels.

Employment ($L$) converges to forecast in the long run by the adjustment of real wages. From Eq. (8), with exogenous employment ($L$), technology ($A$), and a sluggish terms of trade ($TOFT$), a fixed $ROC$ must therefore yield a fixed level of physical capital stock ($K$). Now, from Eq. (1), we can conclude that total output ($Y$) should also be fixed, since Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) determines that private and public spending, $C$, and $G$, are fixed.

Based on Eq. (5), a fixed $ROC$ to $RWACC$ ratio implies fixed investment ($I$). In Eq. (2), since the variables, $Y$, $C$, $G$, and $I$ do not change, net exports ($X-M$) also remain unchanged. Under Eq. (6), fixed net exports imply a fixed real exchange rate ($ER$). With an external shock of a 1 per cent increase to the nominal exchange rate ($E$), the domestic price level ($P$) has to drop accordingly by 1 per cent to ensure an unchanged real exchange rate ($ER$). The results shown in Figures 2 to 5 for the year 2022 are consistent with our back-of-the-envelope analysis of the long run.

If there is a sustained increase in $ROC$ to $RWACC$ due to an increase in $ROC$, Eq. (5) shows that investment, $I$, will increase. This will lead to an above forecast accumulation of physical capital stock ($K$) in future years. With employment ($L$) fixed in the long run, the labour-capital ratio ($L/K$) would drop as $K$ increases. From here, Eq. (8) implies that the return on invested capital ($ROC$) would decline as the labour-capital ratio ($L/K$) is reduced. This moves $ROC$ back towards base-case level eventually. Therefore, we can conclude that the ratio of $ROC$ to $RWACC$ has to be stable and fixed in the long run.
Explaining the short-run effect

In this section, we focus on the results of the first year in our simulation period, 2003, as shown in Figures 2 to 5. In the short run, the simulation shows that the 1 per cent appreciation of the nominal exchange rate would lead to a change in the composition of GDP from the expenditure side. Most notably, investment expenditure falls whilst the trade balance improves as a result of increasing exports. These changes are predominately as a result of the expectation mechanisms specified in the FAGE model, i.e. the assumptions concerning the expected inflation and appreciation of the nominal exchange rate (see Appendix A2).

As before, the external shock in 2003 is a 1 per cent increase in the nominal exchange rate, i.e. $e = 1$. Because of the sluggishness in the real exchange rate’s movement, Eq. (7) suggests that the price level should drop roughly by 1 per cent, i.e. $p = -1$, in the short run. However, the effect of the changes in exchange rate and price on real side of the economy depends on various parameters. The causality from changes in $P$ and $E$ to real investment can be described by Figure 6.

Figure 6: Relationship between Investments and changes in exchange rate and price

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Change in } E & \quad \text{Eq. (27)} \quad \text{Eq. (21)} \quad \text{WACC} \\
\text{Change in } P & \quad \text{Eq. (26)} \quad \text{INF}^e \\
\text{Eq. (17)} & \quad \text{RWACC} \\
\text{Eq. (5)} & \quad I \\
\text{Eq. (17)} & \quad \text{RWACC}
\end{align*}
\]

Note:
The thick line of arrow shows the strong causal relationship between variables, thin line shows weak relationship between variables;
The “+/−” sign near the arrow head indicates a positive or negative relationship between variables;
The “Eq. (*)” along each arrow tells the corresponding the equations discussed in this paper.

Depending on the value of parameter $\lambda^e$ (governing the speed of adjustment in exchange rate expectations), arrow 1 shows that an increase in the nominal exchange rate would lead to an increase in expected appreciation. Similarly, arrow 5 implies an increase in current price level would improve expected inflation, which depends on the value of parameter $\lambda^p$ (governing the speed of adjustment in inflationary expectations). In our model, we have relative bigger $\lambda^p$ than $\lambda^e$.

The ‘−’ sign near the arrowhead of arrow 2 implies an increase in expected appreciation lead to a decrease in WACC. Based on Eq. (21), an increase in $APP^e$ would attract net capital inflows (NCI). This newly arrived NCI would increase the credit supply and reduce WACC subsequently. From arrow 3 and 6 (or Eq. (17), we know that RWACC would be affected by the combined effect of WACC and INF$^e$. Since the causal relation shown in Figure 6 is mainly dominated by arrow 5-6-4, rather than 1-2-3-4, a 1 per cent decrease in $P$ will influence investments more than a 1 per cent increase in $E$. Hence, in the short run, a 1 per cent shock to exchange rate
(E), which leads to a similar drop (in percentage) in the price level (P), would cause investments (I) to fall.

Additionally, under the short-run closure movements in total output (Y), private consumption (C), and government expenditure (G) are sluggish. Based on Eq. (2), a decrease in investment (I) would lead to an increase in net exports (X-M). This improvement in the trade balance implies a depreciation of the real exchange rate from Eq. (6). Explained intuitively, since the short-run output is sluggish, a contraction in the gross national expenditure (GNE) would drive down the real exchange rate and clear the goods market by selling more goods to ROW. The depreciation of the real exchange rate and the exogenous 1 per cent appreciation of the nominal exchange rate imply that the domestic price level would need to drop more than 1 per cent [see Eq. (7)]. Figure 5 shows that the domestic price level (CPI) drops by about 1.35 per cent.

Since the terms of trade (TOFT) is highly correlated with the real exchange rate (ER), a decrease in the real exchange rate would yield a decrease in the terms of trade (Figure 5). Eq. (9) tells us that a decrease in the terms of trade would reduce the employment (L), given fixed A, W’ and K. This decrease in labour input directly leads to a decrease in total output as shown in Eq. (1). Figure 3 shows that real GDP declines slightly by 0.02 per cent.

We now move on to discuss the effects on the rates of return as shown in Figure 4. First, arrows 1 and 2 in Figure 6 show that an appreciation of the nominal exchange rate would lower the weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Second, as described by arrow 5, the decrease in the domestic price level would lower inflationary expectations ($INF_e^c$). However, the decrease in expected inflation ($INF_e^c$) in 2003 is bigger than the WACC as shown in Figure 4. That means the real weighted average cost of capital (RWACC) would increase rather than decrease as shown in Figure 4. The sluggishness of ROC and the increase of RWACC leads to a decrease in the ROC to RWACC ratio, that is, the expected real rate of return (EROR) in Figure 4. A decrease in EROR reduces investment, as before.

An empirical case

This simulation suggests that it is misleading to think that China can reduce its trade surplus by an appreciation of its nominal exchange rate alone. This is not likely to occur even in the short run. This is consistent with McKinnon and Schnabl (2006, 2009), who conclude that the appreciation of the nominal exchange rate would only throw an economy into deflation with no obvious decline in its trade surplus. The post-appreciation economy in Japan in the 1970s and 1980s is an ideal empirical example of this argument. In the 1960s, productivity growth in Japan was high relative to that of the United States. Japanese exports were competitive and made major inroads into American markets. With the emergence of a U.S.-Japanese trade imbalance, the U.S. government continually tried to “talk” or force the yen up because they presumed that an appreciating yen would improve America’s external competitiveness. In fact, the yen did rise all the way from 360 in 1971 to 80 to the U.S. dollar in 1995. During the same period of time, this appreciation did not help to reduce the trade surplus in Japan, but threw Japan into its decade-long deflationary slump highlighted by a zero interest liquidity trap.
3.2. Rebalancing packages

Having examined the ineffectiveness of exchange rate policy alone to redress an external imbalance, we now use FAGE to investigate fiscal policy alone and finally, the same fiscal expansion combined with accommodating monetary policy.

Three simulations are conducted in this section. First is the baseline simulation; second is the rebalancing package I simulation (fiscal only); and third is the rebalancing package II simulation (fiscal plus monetary). These are all conducted as dynamic simulations from 2003 to 2014. The baseline simulation can be considered as a historical reconstruction of what has been happening plus a business-as-usual forecast, and the two rebalancing packages can be viewed as “what if” scenarios. For example, what would the difference have been today and what would happen in 2014, if we had implemented these rebalancing packages since 2003?

Base-case simulation

In the standard policy closure, quantities and prices are endogenous, while the unobservable variables, such as, technology and preference are exogenous. However, in the base-case simulation, we set the model closure in a reverse fashion, i.e. quantities and prices are now exogenous, which allows the model to tell us the change in technology and preference. Over the historical period 2003 to 2008 and the forecast period 2009 to 2014, we impose on the model changes in GDP, consumption, investment, imports, exports and some other observable variables. Further details about running a base-case/historical simulation for the Chinese economy can be found in Mai (2006). In FAGE, we focus in particular on foreign reserve and exchange rate issues. To form a base-case (as shown in Figure 14) which accurately describes the accumulation of foreign reserves, we exogenously inform the model of these foreign reserve levels each year from 2003 to 2014 whilst allowing the aggregate wealth level of the ROW to be endogenous.

Rebalancing package I

Rebalancing package I increases private consumption (C) via a shock to the average propensity to consume, and government spending (G): both shocks are 5 per cent each year from 2003 to 2005. That is, government spending is 5 per cent above the base case in 2003, then 10 per cent above the base case in 2004, reaching a plateau in 2005 at around 15 per cent above forecast. This is designed to move industry activity away from export-oriented and investment-related sectors towards relatively income-elastic sectors such as services. 3

For the real part of the model, package I follows the standard policy closure for Monash-type model as described in Dixon and Rimmer (2002). Here we inform the model of changes to unobservable variables, such as technology and preference change, and let the model determine quantities and prices. For the financial extension, we adopt the fixed exchange rate closure described in Table 3. That means the

---

3 It may be difficult to use policy increase the average propensity of households to consume. If however, the government increases health expenditure, this may reduce the propensity of households to save to cover future medical expenses.
nominal exchange rate remains fixed, but the balance of payment ($dFXR$) is allowed to move. The fixed nominal exchange rate and the naturally endogenous real exchange rate imply that the domestic price level has to be endogenous based on Eq. (7). However, the change in prices ($P$) would cause a bigger fluctuation to the real economy (e.g. investments) than the change in nominal exchange ($E$) rate because the parameter, $\lambda^p$, is larger than $\lambda^c$, as illustrated in Figure 6. Therefore, we adjust the closure slightly by swapping $P$ with $E$. As in the case of China, this allows a country with a managed floating exchange rate regime to be able to control its nominal exchange rate and to keep price levels stable and in line with inflation targets.

We examine the short run first. Since total output ($Y$) changes little or falls, as the ratio of current consumption to income ($[C+G]/Y$) rises, there is a fall in net exports (Figure 7). The current account deficit increases by RMB3.7 trillion compared to the base-case by 2014 (Table 4). Eq. (6) implies that with a fall in net exports, there should be an appreciation of the real exchange rate ($ER$). The real exchange rate moves in a similar direction to the terms of trade ($TOFT$). Eq. (8) indicates that the increase in the $TOFT$ initially increases the return on capital ($ROC$) given that the other terms in Eq. (8), i.e, technology and factor ratio, are initially fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rebalancing Package I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real devaluation</td>
<td>-5.13</td>
<td>-6.52</td>
<td>-7.63</td>
<td>-4.64</td>
<td>-4.65</td>
<td>-7.10</td>
<td>-8.52</td>
<td>-10.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary Change of WACC</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of CAD $(10^9 ¥)$</td>
<td>405.46</td>
<td>713.77</td>
<td>1,023.39</td>
<td>845.49</td>
<td>1,085.79</td>
<td>1,861.57</td>
<td>2,643.97</td>
<td>3,706.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency supply/demand</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of NCI $(10^9 ¥)$</td>
<td>295.66</td>
<td>369.51</td>
<td>458.20</td>
<td>125.13</td>
<td>105.87</td>
<td>303.74</td>
<td>379.70</td>
<td>480.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of MI $(10^9 ¥)$</td>
<td>162.52</td>
<td>367.31</td>
<td>561.03</td>
<td>618.41</td>
<td>834.16</td>
<td>1,272.84</td>
<td>1,774.48</td>
<td>2,437.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Rate</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rebalancing Package II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real devaluation</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>-6.46</td>
<td>-8.28</td>
<td>-6.67</td>
<td>-5.94</td>
<td>-7.51</td>
<td>-8.98</td>
<td>-10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary Change of WACC</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of CAD $(10^9 ¥)$</td>
<td>306.12</td>
<td>644.04</td>
<td>1,059.33</td>
<td>1,193.69</td>
<td>1,678.87</td>
<td>2,438.41</td>
<td>3,438.38</td>
<td>4,823.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency supply/demand</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of NCI $(10^9 ¥)$</td>
<td>460.72</td>
<td>336.16</td>
<td>270.88</td>
<td>-496.10</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>211.31</td>
<td>186.78</td>
<td>227.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of MI $(10^9 ¥)$</td>
<td>-124.89</td>
<td>353.10</td>
<td>820.66</td>
<td>1,637.92</td>
<td>1,406.22</td>
<td>1,788.34</td>
<td>2,536.18</td>
<td>3,464.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Rate</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The initial sharp appreciation of the nominal exchange rate in 2003 (about 5 per cent, Table 4) causes an increase in the expected appreciation. This attracts foreign capital inflows and reduces the $WACC$ as can be seen from arrows 1 and 2 in Figure 6. The decrease in $WACC$ reduces $RWACC$, which increases investment through Eq. (5). Initially, the increase in the terms of trade (implied by the real appreciation) raises employment, in turn increasing real GDP. However, over time, the dampening effect
associated with adaptive expectations weakens the effects of the appreciation on investment. Therefore, after the early years, we need to consider the role of real credit supply in explaining investment. In FAGE, we assume that China faces a steep supply curve of net capital inflows ($NCI^\prime$). From Eq. (19), given a moderately sticky and relatively small net capital inflow and little change in monetary instruments ($dMI^\prime$), we expect a shrinkage in real credit supply ($CRD^\prime$) to follow from a positive shock to both private and public consumption – in the absence of an increase in $Y$. Shrinking real credit supply implies shrinking investment, as in Eq. (18): in the long run, the impact of real credit supply supersedes the initial impact of the return on capital, so that the impact of the policy on aggregate investment switches from positive to negative. The cumulative effect of the reduced level of investment reduces the capital stock ($K$) compared to the base-case, which in turn, also reduces the total level of output ($Y$) from 2006 on relative to forecast (Figure 8).

A surprising outcome is the magnitude of the negative impact on medium-term employment (between 2007 and 2012) of the fiscal stimulus. This arises from the relatively high labour-intensity of the construction industry, most of the sales of which are for investment purposes. A negative impact on investment leads to a negative impact on employment. With less labour-intensive construction activity, the negative impact on employment would be smaller.

**Rebalancing package II**

In addition to replicating the shocks to the average propensity to consume and $G$ in the rebalancing package I simulation, rebalancing package II also allows increases in the money supply via an accommodating increase in repurchases of government bonds by the central bank. These are sufficient to keep investment at baseline levels. An increase in $dMI^\prime$ in Eq. (19) now offsets the decrease in the domestic saving ($Y-C-G$). The central bank now expands the money more than in package I (by 2014, RMB3,464 billion relative to RMB2,437, Table 4) to maintain the investment level at the base-case (Table 8), thereby offsetting the negative impacts of shrinking long-run investment that arose in package I.

With investment fixed, the change in capital stock should be similar to the base-case, leaving aside industry composition differences. Such differences arise from varying rates of depreciation and capital intensities among industries. Employment persists above forecast in 2014 (Figure 10).
Next, we examine the impact of package II on the sectoral composition of the economy. To do so, we present the sectors in three groups. Figure 11 shows the group with the lowest export share of total sales (i.e., less than 1.5 percent in the initial year database). This group contains the biggest winners from the package. The increase in public administration output is closely tied to the shock to government spending. Increases in health and education activity reflect the large shares of household and
government spending of these services in total sales. Little happens to construction, as its sales track exogenous aggregate investment. Electricity and steam would lose from reduced sales to export-oriented sales while gaining from sales to households and government, resulting in little net change. Next, we show the impacts on the intermediate group, with export share of between 1.5 and 10 percent of total sales (Figure 12). The food-related sectors (pork, fruit, other food and drinks, and rice) gain as aggregate consumption increases. The communications sector gains, with losses in industry sales being more than offset by increases sales to households and government. The remaining sectors in Figure 12, while not overly export-oriented, are substantial intermediate suppliers to sectors that are. Figure 13 includes the most export-oriented sectors, some of which gain through switching to domestic sales. These include various income-elastic services. The biggest losers from the package are in this sector, notably electronic components and televisions (ElecCompTV), and textile clothing and footwear (TCFs). They are most export-oriented sectors in the initial database.4

Figure 11: Sectoral outcomes -- low export ratio (% change relative to baseline)

---

4 The legends in Figures 11, 12 and 13 show the sectors in ascending order of the export share of total sales.
Comparing package I and package II

In terms of slowing the accumulation of foreign reserves, package II is more effective than package I (Figure 14). At the end of 2014, the total foreign reserves in the base-case are about US$4.7 trillion, compared with foreign reserves of around US$2.6 trillion for package I and US$1.4 trillion for policy package II. Our initial monetary-
only simulation showed that a nominal exchange rate adjustment is insufficient to redress China’s external imbalance. The fiscal only package was more effective. But without accommodating monetary adjustment, investment and employment persisted below forecast years after the initial stimulus. Finally, a combination of fiscal expansion and monetary adjustment not only redressed excessive foreign reserves more effectively than a fiscal only strategy, but also kept employment closer to baseline levels by not discouraging investment. Our simulations with FAGE therefore support the conclusions of analysts that both monetary and fiscal adjustments are necessary to address China’s external imbalance. We have also further by showing the impact of fiscal and monetary policies on the sectoral composition of China’s economy.

Figure 14: Foreign reserves accumulation in these three scenarios (Billion US$)

A better package appears to be one that also increases the money supply to offset the decrease of domestic saving, $Y-C-G$, and thereby avoids consequent huge capital inflows. In addition to its impact on foreign reserves, package II outperforms package I in terms of real GDP (Figures 7 and 9). At the end of 2014, package I reduces GDP by 2.5 per cent compared with the base-case, while package II increases GDP by about 0.7 percent. This difference can mainly be attributed to the changes in the capital stock and employment as discussed previously.
4. Concluding remarks

This paper investigates the Chinese external imbalance, which has arisen from high productivity growth, low domestic consumption and sterilisation policies. The Chinese RMB has become weaker relative to the world’s currency index in recent years because it has followed the depreciation of the U.S. dollar since 2002. With its increase in foreign reserves, China has sterilised and mopped up any excess liquidity in the market by selling bonds in order to keep the domestic price stable. By managing these two nominal variables (the exchange rate and price level), China has kept its real exchange rate significantly undervalued and enjoyed high growth through a large trade surplus.

However, the rapid accumulation of foreign reserves is not sustainable, because it brings some potential costs and risks. These include the increasing cost of sterilisation, the potential capital loss for the central bank, and the risks to inflating global asset prices. The global financial crisis has resulted in defaults on loan repayments, although China’s exposure has been relatively limited. This is because China authorities have purchased mainly low yielding, relatively low risk US treasury bonds. Even in the absence of default, these bonds have been costly in so far as the depreciation of the dollar against other major currencies has resulted in capital losses. From the perspective of its domestic economy, China should consider adopting some rebalancing policies. China should direct these at improving its welfare system, as Chinese households have tended to save in the absence of a welfare safety net. This increase in public consumption would reduce savings which in turn would stimulate private consumption. This would enable the economy to sustain growth without the rising risks associated with ever-increasing foreign reserves as a share of GDP.

State-owned enterprises are responsible for a large proportion of domestic savings. This is because they are not required to pay dividends to shareholders (i.e., the government). Privatization of these enterprises potentially could increase the disposable income of households, reinforcing the fiscal approach above.

Three policy simulations of the FAGE model have been used to shed light on the issues about the current external imbalance of China. First, we simulate an appreciation of the nominal exchange rate. It has no real effect in the long run. Rather, it has only a deflationary effect. Even in the short run, this appreciation would not help to reduce the huge trade surplus, but only serve to increase the surplus further. The experience of Japan in 1980s gives us an empirical example which is consistent with the results of this simulation.

Next, we consider two hypothetical rebalancing packages to reduce the trade surplus and decelerate the rapid accumulation of foreign reserves. First, we increase private and public consumption by 5 per cent annually from 2003 to 2007. We found this policy would crowd out investment and in turn reduce capital stocks, thereby contributing to a falling real GDP relative to forecast in the long run. Second, we add monetary expansion to this fiscal package to avoid the crowding-out effect on investment. This turns out to be far more effective in reducing the external imbalance than fiscal policy alone, while maintaining GDP near baseline levels. For a country with a fixed exchange rate, fiscal policy can be used to adjust the level of net exports,
while monetary policy can be used to adjust the level of net capital inflows. The two major components of the balance of payment balance (i.e. the flow of accumulated foreign reserves) are net exports and net capital inflows. To effectively manage the balance of payments, a country with a fixed exchange rate should therefore use both fiscal and monetary policies.
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6. Appendix

A1. Optimisation behaviour of the foreign investors

Suppose the foreign investors have total amount of funds in dollar terms ($,tTF\_t^{S,1}$) at the end of year $t$. To maximise their expected returns in year $t+1$, the foreign investors tries to decide the optimal amount of loans to Chinese industries ($FLN\_t^{S,1}$) and ROW industries ($ASST\_t^{S,1}$) based on their total funds and corresponding expected rate of returns.

\[
\text{Max: } E(\text{expected return}_t) = CES[Z_t \times FLN\_t^{S,1}, Z^*_t \times ASST\_t^{S,1}]
\]
\[
\text{St: } TF\_t^{S,1} = FLN\_t^{S,1} + ASST\_t^{S,1}
\]

where $CES[\cdot]$ is a utility function that displays constant elasticity of substitution.

The power of the expected real yields of $FLN$ and $ASST$ can be written as

\[
Z_t = \left( \frac{1+WACC_t \cdot APP_t^*}{1+\bar{r}_t} \right)^{1+\bar{r}_t}
\]
\[
Z^*_t = \left( \frac{1+WACC_t^*}{1+\bar{r}_t^*} \right)^{1+\bar{r}_t^*}
\]

where $WACC_t$ and $WACC_t^*$ are the rates of return of $FLN$ and $ASST$,
$APP_t^*$ is the expected appreciation of the exchange rate (see Appendix A2),
$\bar{r}_t$ is the expected inflation rate in ROW,
$\bar{r}_t$ and $\bar{r}_t^*$ are the required real rates of return of $FLN$ and $ASST$.

In this system, we only pay attention to the foreign loans given to China ($FLN$), but not the remaining part of ROW assets ($ASST$). So we only keep the supply function of $FLN$ in dollar terms in our model, which is

\[
FLN_t^{S,1} = TF_t^{S,1} \cdot \Psi[Z_t, Z^*_t]
\]

In China, the private capital outflows are still restricted by the government and it is small compared with capital inflows. The net capital inflows ($NCI_t^S$) to China in dollar terms can be express as:

\[
NCI_t^S = dFLN_t^S = FLN_t^{S,1} - FLN_t^{S,0}
\]

Substituting Equation (A1.3) into (A1.4), given that the start-of-year foreign loans to China ($FLN_t^{S,0}$) are unchanged, the net capital inflows become
\[ NCi_t = TF_t^{s1} \cdot \Psi[Z_i, Z_t^+] \]  

(A1.5)

Given that the required rates of return of FLN and ASST (i.e. \( \bar{r}_i \) and \( \bar{r}_t^+ \)) are exogenous, net capital inflows can be simplified as:

\[ NCi_t = TF_t^{s1} \cdot \Psi\left[ \frac{(1 + WACC_t) \cdot APP_t^e}{1 + WACC_t^e} \right] \]  

(A1.6)

**A2. Adaptive expectations for inflation and appreciation**

*Adaptive expectations for inflation*

Expected inflation plays a role in estimating the expected rate of return which in turn affects investments. We model expected inflation via adaptive expectations.

The idea of adaptive expectations (McCallum, 1989) is that the expected inflation rate \( (INF_t^e) \) is adjusted upward, relative to its previous value \( (INF_{t-1}^e) \), when the most recent actual inflation rate \( (INF_t) \) exceeds its own previously expected value.

\[ INF_t^e - INF_{t-1}^e = \lambda^p (INF_t - INF_{t-1}^e), \quad 0 \leq \lambda^p \leq 1 \]  

(A2.1)

Correspondingly, if \( INF_t \) were smaller than \( INF_{t-1}^e \), the value of \( INF_t^e \) would be lowered relative to \( INF_{t-1}^e \). The extent of the adjustment is indicated by \( \lambda^p \): if the parameter \( \lambda^p \) is close to 1.0, the adjustment is relatively strong, and weak if is close to zero.

Alternatively, the value of \( \lambda^p \) can be thought of as reflecting the speed of adjustment of expectations. To understand this interpretation, let us rewrite A2.1) as:

\[ INF_t^e = \lambda^p INF_t + (1 - \lambda^p)INF_{t-1}^e \]  

(A2.2)

This implies that \( INF_{t-1} = \lambda^p INF_t + (1 - \lambda^p)INF_{t-2}^e \), which can be substituted back into A2.2) to give

\[ INF_t^e = \lambda^p INF_t + (1 - \lambda^p)[\lambda^p INF_{t-1} + (1 - \lambda^p)INF_{t-2}^e] \]  

(A2.3)

Similarly, repeating such substitutions indefinitely leads to an expression of the form

\[ INF_t^e = \lambda^p INF_t + \lambda^p(1 - \lambda^p)INF_{t-1} + \lambda^p(1 - \lambda^p)^2 INF_{t-2} + ... \]  

(A2.4)

Since the term \( (1 - \lambda^p)^n \) approaches zero as \( n \to \infty \). From A2.4), then we see that the expected inflation rate can be expressed as a weighted average of all current and past actual inflation rates. If more weight is attached to recent as opposed to distant values,
the parameter, $\lambda^p$, will be larger. In this sense, $\lambda^p$ measures the speed of expectation adjustment. In FAGE, we set $\lambda^p$ as 0.15. In later chapters, we are going to analyse how this value affecting the simulation results.

**Adaptive expectations for exchange rate appreciation**

We define the actual appreciation rate\(^5\) through a year as

$$APP_t = \frac{E^1_t}{E^0_t}$$

where $E^0_t$ and $E^1_t$ are the start-of-year and end-of-year value of the exchange rate in year $t$. We specify the expected rate of appreciation by

$$APP^{\lambda} = (APP_t)^{\lambda} \left( APP^{\lambda}_{t-1} \right)^{1-\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2.5)

Similar to the expected inflation rate in A2.1), we can express the expected exchange rate appreciation via the equation:

$$\frac{APP^{\lambda}}{APP^{\lambda}_{t-1}} = \left( \frac{APP_t}{APP^{\lambda}_{t-1}} \right)^{\lambda}, \hspace{0.5cm} 0 \leq \lambda^e \leq 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2.6)

The A2.6) shows that the expected appreciation rate ($APP^{\lambda}_t$) is adjusted upward, relative to its previous value ($APP^{\lambda}_{t-1}$), when the most recent actual appreciation rate ($APP_t$) exceeds its own previously expected value.

As we did for the expected inflation rate, we can express the expected appreciation rate as a weighted average of current and past actual appreciation rates:

$$APP^{\lambda}_t = (APP_t)^{\lambda} \left( APP^{\lambda}_{t-1} \right)^{\lambda(1-\lambda)} \left( APP^{\lambda}_{t-2} \right)^{\lambda(1-\lambda)^2} ...$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2.7)

Again, similar to $\lambda^p$ in A2.4), the parameter $\lambda^e$ measures the speeds of adjustment for the expectation. We set $\lambda^e$ as 0.1 in FAGE.

---

\(^5\) On this definition, the actual appreciation rate is 1 plus the proportionate increase in the exchange rate.