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## Summary

Canada is biggest market for U.S. exports and the second biggest source of U.S. imports, behind China. It is also a significant foreign supplier of direct investment to the U.S. In this report we quantify the role of Canada in supporting jobs in the U.S. through trade and investment.

To calculate the dependence of U.S. employment on trade with Canada, we use an economic model to look at how employment in the U.S. would be affected by a cessation of Canada/U.S. trade. In this way we find that Canada/U.S. trade:

- supports 7.88 million jobs in the U.S. This is about 4.53 per cent of U.S. employment ${ }^{1}$.
- has a net positive effect on GDP of 5.8 per cent resulting from a positive effect on output in 476 industries and a negative effect in 57 industries. The most obvious U.S. beneficiaries are industries with a heavy reliance on exports to Canada. However many other industries benefit from the availability of imported inputs from Canada. The only U.S. industries that lose from Canada/U.S. trade are those that face strong competition from Canadian imports. But of course this import competition has beneficial effects in keeping prices down in the U.S. for business inputs and for consumer goods.
- has a positive effect on employment in every state and the District of Columbia. Because of links between states, even states that have little direct connection with Canada/U.S. trade are beneficiaries.

To calculate the dependence of U.S. employment on Canadian direct investment we use statistics from the Bureau of Economic Analysis on U.S. employment in Canadian-majorityowned affiliates operating in the U.S. We find that Canadian direct investment:

- supports 0.47 million employees in the U.S. This is about 0.39 per cent of employee jobs in the U.S.
- provides more than 1 per cent of U.S. employee jobs in Manufacturing, Information, and Finance \& insurance.
- provides jobs in every state and the district of Columbia. In Delaware, Kansas, Nevada, Maine, New Hampshire, Minnesota, Alaska, Massachusetts, Arizona, South Dakota, Wisconsin, Alabama, Vermont and Washington., Canadian-majority-owned affiliates provide more than 0.5 per cent of employee jobs.
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## 1. Introduction

Canada is of major economic importance to the U.S. both as a trade partner and as a direct investor in the U.S. In this report we quantify the economic contribution of Canada to the U.S. by estimating how many jobs in the U.S. depend on trade with Canada and on Canadianowned businesses in the U.S.

To provide our trade estimate we conducted a simulation with a general equilibrium model. This shows the effects on U.S. macro variables including employment and on U.S. industries and states of an elimination of both U.S. exports to Canada and U.S. imports from Canada.

Our main macro results for cessation of Canada/U.S. trade are in Table 1.1. This table shows reductions in U.S. GDP and employment of 5.84 per cent and 4.53 per cent, equivalent to a loss in GDP of about $\$ 860$ billion and a loss of 7.88 million jobs (or employment for 6.44 million people).

Table 1.1 Macro effects on the U.S. of ceasing trade with Canada (\%)

| GDP | -5.84 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Employment | -4.53 |

In a previous study, using a similar methodology to that adopted here, Baughman and Francois (2010) found that 4.4 per cent of U.S. jobs in 2008 depended on trade with Canada. That is, they found that U.S. employment would be reduced by 4.4 per cent if trade with Canada ceased. They translated this estimate into a loss of jobs of 8.028 million. Our estimates are similar to theirs ( 4.53 per cent compared with 4.4 per cent, and job losses of 7.88 million compared with 8.03 million ${ }^{2}$ ).

On U.S. GDP, Baughman and Francois estimated that the reduction associated with cessation of Canada/U.S. trade would be 3.3 per cent. We think this is too low. A loss of 4.53 per cent in employment imparts a direct loss of about 3.2 per cent in GDP (equals 4.53 times the share of labor in GDP). Our estimate of a 5.84 per cent loss in GDP takes account not only of the direct loss but also the loss in U.S. efficiency associated with the substitution in the U.S. market of less suitable U.S. and third-country products for Canadian products.

To provide our estimate of U.S. employment dependence on Canadian businesses, we accessed data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) on numbers of employees in Canadian-majority-owned businesses in the U.S. These data show for 2009 that Canadian businesses in the U.S. provided jobs for about 474 thousand U.S. residents.

The rest of this report is organized as follows. In section 2 we explain our methodology for the trade-cessation estimates and provide some more macroeconomic results. In sections 3 and 4 we look at industry and state results for the effects of trade cessation. Section 5 discusses employment dependence in the U.S. on Canadian businesses

[^1]operating in the U.S. Estimates are presented at the macro, sectoral and state levels. Concluding remarks are in section 6.

## 2. Employment dependence in the U.S. of trade with Canada: methodology and macroeconomic results

### 2.1 The USAGE model

Our trade-cessation simulations were conducted with the USAGE model of the U.S. USAGE is a 533 -industry/commodity dynamic computable general equilibrium (CGE) model of the U.S. developed at the Centre of Policy Studies, Monash University in collaboration with the U.S. International Trade Commission. ${ }^{3}$ The theoretical structure of USAGE is similar to that of Australia's MONASH model, Dixon and Rimmer (2002). USAGE has been applied by the U.S. International Trade Commission and the U.S. Departments of Commerce, Agriculture, Homeland Security and Energy in studies concerned with trade, biofuels, immigration, the Obama stimulus package, the President's National Export Initiative, greenhouse policy and economic aspects of security threats.

The standard version of USAGE relies on a database for 2005. For the present study we updated key aspects of the database to 2010. The update covered all macro aggregates together with U.S. imports from Canada and U.S. exports to Canada disaggregated to the 533 commodity level. These trade data were obtained from the U.S. International Trade Commission.

### 2.2 Assumptions underlying the USAGE simulation and further macro results

We simulated the effects of cessation of Canada/U.S. trade under the assumption that there would be no effect on real wage rates in the U.S. The same assumption was made by Baughman and Francois. The idea is to find out how many jobs in the U.S. at current wage rates depend on Canada/U.S. trade. If there were a cessation of Canada/U.S. trade, then U.S. wages would eventually fall allowing U.S. employment to return to normal levels. So in this sense, U.S. employment in the long run does not depend on Canada/U.S. trade. However, maintenance of employment at current real wage rates does depend on Canada/U.S. trade. It is this dependence that we measure by calculating the number of jobs that would be lost if Canada/U.S. trade ceased and U.S. wages did not fall.

In our simulations we assume that cessation of Canada/U.S. trade does not affect the U.S. balance of trade. Put another way, we assume that there would be no change in U.S. reliance on foreign borrowing. As shown in Table 2.1, both U.S. exports and imports would contract sharply. The trade balance would be maintained via adjustment of the U.S. exchange rate. U.S. exports to Canada and imports from Canada in 2010 were both about $\$ 300$ billion. Thus cancellation of this trade without a change in the U.S. trade deficit would require balanced changes in U.S. imports from and exports to the rest of the world. Cessation of Canada/U.S. trade would raise costs in the U.S. and with no change in the real wage rates, real devaluation of the U.S. currency would be required for maintenance of the U.S. trade balance.

In percentage terms the contraction in total U.S. exports would exceed that in total U.S. imports because the value of U.S. imports exceeds that of U.S. exports. Although Canada accounts for only 16 per cent of U.S. exports, the elimination of these exports leads to a contraction in total U.S. exports of more than 16 per cent ( 23.35 per cent). What our model is reflecting is that with the elimination of Canada as a partner, trade becomes a less efficient way for the U.S. economy to satisfy the requirements of its citizens. Without the

[^2]ability to import from Canada, there is a reduction in the value to the U.S. of earning foreign currency by selling exports in other countries.

With cessation of Canada/U.S. trade and no change in the trade balance, our model implies that changes in real GDP must be accommodated by reductions in absorption. We see this in Table 2.1 where private consumption, public consumption and investment all fall by 4.95 per cent. That they fall by the same percentage reflects an assumption built into the simulation: cessation of Canada/U.S. trade does not affect the broad composition of U.S. absorption (or gross national expenditure, GNE). That the percentage reduction in absorption (4.95) is less than the percentage reduction in GDP (5.84) arises from two factors. First, in nominal terms (current dollars), GNE for the U.S. is greater than GDP. With the balance of trade fixed, the change in nominal GNE must be the same as the change in nominal GDP, implying a smaller percentage decline in nominal GNE than in nominal GDP. This nominal effect is reinforced in real terms by the second factor, a terms-of-trade improvement. With a reduction in its exports, not only to Canada but to the rest of the world, the U.S. gets minor compensation via increases of the prices of its exports on world markets (a terms-of-trade improvement). This allows GNE in real terms to increase relative to GDP in real terms.

The final macro assumption underlying our simulation is that cessation of Canada/U.S. trade does not affect the total quantity of capital stock in the U.S. This is a short-run assumption, consistent with our assumption that wages do not change, also a shortrun assumption.

Table 2.1 Further macro effects on the U.S. of ceasing trade with Canada (\%)

| Private consumption | -4.95 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Public consumption | -4.95 |
| Investment | -4.95 |
| Exports | -23.35 |
| Imports | -14.07 |
| GDP | -5.84 |
| Employment | -4.53 |
| Capital stock | 0.00 |

## 3. U.S. industry dependence on Canada/U.S. trade

Table 3.1 shows effects of cessation of Canada/U.S. trade on U.S. outputs of 533 commodities. For 476 commodities the effect is negative while for 57 commodities the effect is positive. A simple average of the effects is -5.23 per cent, close to the GDP effect of -5.84 .

A priori we would expect cessation of Canada/U.S. trade to be particularly bad for output of U.S. commodities that are heavily exported to Canada. On the other hand we would expect cessation to be good for U.S. output of commodities that face considerable competition in the U.S. market from Canadian imports. We tested these expectation by running a regression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(i)=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} * S_{\exp }(i)+\alpha_{2} * S_{\text {imp }}(i), \quad \text { for } i=1,533 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$y(i)$ is the USAGE result for the percentage effect of Canada/U.S. trade cessation on U.S. output of commodity $i$;

Table 3.1. Commodity output effects (\%) of Canada/U.S. trade cessation: USAGE \& fitted results, and explanatory variables*

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Dairyfarmprd | -3.18 | -2.50 | 0.0009 | 0.0049 | 35 NaturalGas | 6.57 | 1.54 | 0.0000 | 0.0965 |
| 2 PoultryEggs | -2.30 | -2.83 | 0.0026 | 0.0018 | 36 crushedstone | -1.51 | -2.67 | 0.0057 | 0.0133 |
| 3 BeefCattle | -0.18 | -1.82 | 0.0002 | 0.0192 | 37 SandGravel | -2.08 | -3.16 | 0.0067 | 0.0042 |
| 4 Hogs | 2.44 | -1.55 | 0.0001 | 0.0251 | 38 ClayCeramic | -2.60 | -4.72 | 0.0327 | 0.0338 |
| 5 Livestckmisc | -2.16 | -3.27 | 0.0155 | 0.0241 | 39 Nonmetminsrv | -1.00 | -0.25 | 0.0311 | 0.1333 |
| 6 Cotton | 4.52 | -3.05 | 0.0039 | 0.0000 | 40 Chemfertiliz | -2.48 | -1.77 | 0.0232 | 0.0782 |
| 7 Wheat | 4.46 | -2.14 | 0.0009 | 0.0134 | 41 Nresident1 | -2.63 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 8 Rice | 2.34 | -2.07 | 0.0009 | 0.0150 | 42 Nresid2to4 | -2.48 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 9 Corn | 0.03 | -3.47 | 0.0091 | 0.0031 | 43 AddAlter | -2.51 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 10 OthFeedCrop | 4.92 | -0.49 | 0.0020 | 0.0545 | 44 GardHighrise | -2.57 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 11 Grassseeds | 0.70 | -2.90 | 0.0222 | 0.0495 | 45 HighwysBrid | 7.30 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 12 Tobacco | 6.41 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 46 Farmresident | -2.55 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13 Fruits | -13.40 | -15.48 | 0.1217 | 0.0083 | 47 PetNgDrill | 3.66 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 14 Treenuts | 0.29 | -6.52 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 48 PetNgExplor | 3.98 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 15 Vegetables | -7.09 | -8.75 | 0.0804 | 0.0603 | 49 AccStrucSMD | -5.63 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 16 SugarCane | 1.19 | -2.65 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 50 IndComBuild | -8.28 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 17 SugarBeets | -3.09 | -2.82 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 51 OthrConstruc | -5.14 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 18 Cropsmisc | -3.86 | -5.49 | 0.0337 | 0.0185 | 52 MRresident | -1.76 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 19 SwitchGrass | -8.82 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 53 MRstreets | -6.16 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 20 Cropresidue | -3.90 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 54 MRpetngwell | 9.43 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 21 CellMaterial | -4.53 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 55 OthMRconst | -4.59 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 22 OrgByProds | -4.38 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 56 GuidedMiss | -5.97 | -2.75 | 0.0017 | 0.0013 |
| 23 Soybeans | 2.86 | -2.71 | 0.0027 | 0.0048 | 57 Ammunition | -2.29 | -3.17 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 24 OthOilseeds | -1.03 | -8.01 | 0.0812 | 0.0796 | 58 Tanks | -2.49 | -0.97 | 0.0233 | 0.0970 |
| 25 Greennursery | -1.53 | -3.39 | 0.0143 | 0.0182 | 59 SmallArms | -0.58 | -7.73 | 0.0533 | 0.0159 |
| 26 Forestryprds | 1.24 | -2.54 | 0.0007 | 0.0036 | 60 SmArmsAmmu | -5.70 | -9.24 | 0.0719 | 0.0275 |
| 27 ComFishing | -0.52 | 16.56 | 0.1322 | 0.7785 | 61 Ordnance | -1.72 | -4.46 | 0.0170 | 0.0001 |
| 28 AgForFshserv | -1.53 | -2.63 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 62 BeefPack | -0.77 | -3.10 | 0.0146 | 0.0257 |
| 29 Lndscaphort | -2.87 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 63 OthMeatPack | -3.74 | -2.77 | 0.0128 | 0.0288 |
| 30 Ironmetlores | -28.78 | -27.88 | 0.2570 | 0.0617 | 64 Sausages | -7.30 | -4.24 | 0.0162 | 0.0034 |
| 31 Copperore | 0.02 | -10.01 | 0.0681 | 0.0003 | 65 Pltryslaught | -2.06 | -3.79 | 0.0131 | 0.0057 |
| 32 Nonferrores | -20.52 | -22.17 | 0.1837 | 0.0095 | 66 Butter | -4.27 | -3.34 | 0.0069 | 0.0008 |
| 33 Coal | -1.24 | -4.19 | 0.0181 | 0.0091 | 67 Cheese | -3.89 | -2.81 | 0.0022 | 0.0012 |
| 34 CrudeOil | 10.83 | 2.10 | 0.0084 | 0.1307 | 68 DairyCE | -2.70 | -4.84 | 0.0220 | 0.0039 |

Table 3.1 continued

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 DairyDry | 0.13 | -3.30 | 0.0065 | 0.0008 | 103 Sweeteners | -4.31 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 70 ConcMilkProt | -0.27 | -7.04 | 0.0410 | 0.0006 | 104 Chocolate | -29.52 | -20.94 | 0.4122 | 0.6143 |
| 71 Icecream | -3.42 | -2.68 | 0.0013 | 0.0019 | 105 NutsSeeds | -4.57 | -7.94 | 0.0596 | 0.0268 |
| 72 Fluidmilk | -4.45 | -2.79 | 0.0018 | 0.0006 | 106 Candy | -1.35 | -2.63 | 0.0134 | 0.0336 |
| 73 TunaOil | -8.35 | -2.25 | 0.0000 | 0.0086 | 107 Maltbevrage | -2.54 | -3.34 | 0.0107 | 0.0102 |
| 74 TunaWat | -7.46 | -2.67 | 0.0005 | 0.0003 | 108 Malt | 9.35 | 1.35 | 0.0166 | 0.1340 |
| 75 CanFishnec | -6.71 | 2.25 | 0.0988 | 0.3621 | 109 WinesSpirit | -1.56 | -6.57 | 0.0374 | 0.0025 |
| 76 Canndspecial | -5.31 | -4.95 | 0.0268 | 0.0133 | 110 Distliqour | -1.33 | -3.09 | 0.0259 | 0.0545 |
| 77 Cannedfruit | -4.00 | -4.60 | 0.0228 | 0.0117 | 111 Softdrinks | -5.49 | -3.63 | 0.0103 | 0.0026 |
| 78 Dehydfruit | -1.29 | -6.67 | 0.0451 | 0.0197 | 112 Flavorsyrups | 7.17 | 0.77 | 0.0070 | 0.0963 |
| 79 Pickles_dres | -4.64 | -5.39 | 0.0334 | 0.0200 | 113 Cottnsdmills | 1.23 | -2.66 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |
| 80 PreparedFish | -8.82 | -4.74 | 0.0195 | 0.0000 | 114 Soybeanmills | -1.18 | -6.06 | 0.0349 | 0.0081 |
| 81 Frozenfruit | -3.36 | -5.99 | 0.0691 | 0.0959 | 115 Vegetmills | -20.51 | -15.82 | 0.2461 | 0.3142 |
| 82 Froznspecial | -3.58 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 116 FatsOilsnonv | -2.06 | -6.80 | 0.0463 | 0.0197 |
| 83 Flour | -5.52 | -4.12 | 0.0150 | 0.0030 | 117 Coffee | -15.99 | -13.80 | 0.1269 | 0.0606 |
| 84 Cereal | -3.12 | -4.70 | 0.0375 | 0.0462 | 118 EdblfatsOils | -3.39 | -3.94 | 0.0203 | 0.0208 |
| 85 Prepdough | -3.09 | -4.73 | 0.0384 | 0.0480 | 119 Ice | 64.81 | 30.04 | 0.0087 | 0.7799 |
| 86 DogCatfood | -8.46 | -8.57 | 0.0622 | 0.0189 | 120 Noodles | -3.92 | -4.35 | 0.0220 | 0.0153 |
| 87 Prepfeeds | -1.19 | -3.45 | 0.0108 | 0.0080 | 121 Potatochips | -3.32 | -2.96 | 0.0046 | 0.0037 |
| 88 Ricemill | -3.10 | -9.76 | 0.0677 | 0.0050 | 122 Foodprepnec | -4.29 | -6.01 | 0.0432 | 0.0304 |
| 89 HFCS | -2.70 | -2.32 | 0.0007 | 0.0087 | 123 Cigarettes | -0.51 | -2.44 | 0.0001 | 0.0045 |
| 90 Glucose | -2.72 | -7.19 | 0.0498 | 0.0196 | 124 Cigars | -3.83 | -5.33 | 0.0255 | 0.0014 |
| 91 Dextrose | -1.70 | -2.57 | 0.0000 | 0.0012 | 125 tobaccoSnuff | -7.09 | -7.47 | 0.0448 | 0.0005 |
| 92 Dextrin | -15.44 | -26.08 | 0.2164 | 0.0013 | 126 TobStmRedry | 12.86 | -2.68 | 0.0086 | 0.0203 |
| 93 Starch | -9.03 | -14.41 | 0.1093 | 0.0019 | 127 Broadfabric | -3.98 | -3.64 | 0.0147 | 0.0136 |
| 94 ModStarch | -11.38 | -18.81 | 0.1492 | 0.0004 | 128 Narrowfabric | -0.61 | -5.98 | 0.0585 | 0.0695 |
| 95 WetMillingne | 2.70 | 1.70 | 0.0051 | 0.1131 | 129 YarnFinish | -2.55 | -3.58 | 0.0123 | 0.0088 |
| 96 Bread | -3.15 | -3.56 | 0.0200 | 0.0286 | 130 Threadmills | -3.04 | -3.66 | 0.0196 | 0.0254 |
| 97 Cookies | -3.21 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 131 CarpetsRugs | -8.36 | -6.35 | 0.0376 | 0.0082 |
| 98 Froznbakery | -3.79 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 132 Coatdfabric | -0.50 | -3.79 | 0.0479 | 0.0936 |
| 99 RawSugar | 1.53 | -3.03 | 0.0038 | 0.0002 | 133 TirecordFab | -13.39 | -10.28 | 0.1025 | 0.0806 |
| 100 RefCaneSugar | -5.55 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 134 CordageTwine | -6.29 | -6.45 | 0.0435 | 0.0208 |
| 101 RefBeetSugar | -2.77 | -2.37 | 0.0000 | 0.0058 | 135 Nonwovenfab | -7.41 | -8.72 | 0.0650 | 0.0224 |
| 102 RefinedSugar | -4.67 | -3.21 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 | 136 Textilegoods | -5.56 | -6.77 | 0.0427 | 0.0114 |

[^3]Table 3.1 continued

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137 Womenhosiery | -4.56 | -3.37 | 0.0086 | 0.0043 | 171 OfFurnXwood | -6.66 | -3.49 | 0.0248 | 0.0423 |
| 138 Hosierynec | -4.10 | -4.46 | 0.0224 | 0.0138 | 172 PubBldFurnit | -19.34 | -15.44 | 0.1595 | 0.1045 |
| 139 Knitfabric | -0.23 | -3.71 | 0.0178 | 0.0196 | 173 Woodfixture | -6.69 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 140 Apparel | -6.31 | -5.78 | 0.0397 | 0.0268 | 174 FixturExwood | -11.64 | -7.61 | 0.1131 | 0.1695 |
| 141 Curtains | -7.24 | -8.02 | 0.0524 | 0.0068 | 175 DraphardBlnd | -2.45 | -2.86 | 0.0035 | 0.0033 |
| 142 Housefurnish | -8.34 | -6.90 | 0.0451 | 0.0143 | 176 Furnfixnec | -39.59 | -31.47 | 0.3462 | 0.2035 |
| 143 Textilebags | -2.66 | -4.77 | 0.0296 | 0.0247 | 177 Pulpmills | 22.44 | 10.36 | 0.0220 | 0.3569 |
| 144 Canvasprods | -4.09 | -5.15 | 0.0265 | 0.0082 | 178 Envelopes | -6.78 | -4.12 | 0.0151 | 0.0033 |
| 145 Pleating | -2.66 | -2.85 | 0.0040 | 0.0047 | 179 SanitPapProd | -7.26 | -5.55 | 0.0459 | 0.0479 |
| 146 AutoAppTrim | -5.96 | -3.44 | 0.0075 | 0.0000 | 180 PaperCoat | -6.06 | -4.71 | 0.0238 | 0.0115 |
| 147 Embroideries | -5.38 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 181 BagsExtext | -4.69 | -4.72 | 0.0376 | 0.0463 |
| 148 Fabtxtprods | -17.20 | -15.32 | 0.1301 | 0.0333 | 182 Cardboard | -3.85 | -5.37 | 0.0514 | 0.0657 |
| 149 Logging | 2.45 | -5.05 | 0.0254 | 0.0076 | 183 Stationery | -4.58 | -3.95 | 0.0143 | 0.0053 |
| 150 Sawmills | 5.88 | 0.39 | 0.0131 | 0.1028 | 184 PapProdsnec | -4.97 | -2.49 | 0.0046 | 0.0146 |
| 151 Hrdwdfloor | -4.85 | -2.50 | 0.0018 | 0.0073 | 185 Papermills | 0.71 | -1.95 | 0.0391 | 0.1139 |
| 152 Sawmillprod | 13.71 | 14.43 | 0.0508 | 0.5238 | 186 Boxes | -5.43 | -3.23 | 0.0117 | 0.0153 |
| 153 Millwork | -3.97 | -2.92 | 0.0103 | 0.0189 | 187 Newspapers | -5.27 | -2.79 | 0.0017 | 0.0003 |
| 154 kitchencab | -2.48 | -2.89 | 0.0096 | 0.0179 | 188 Periodicals | -9.01 | -6.59 | 0.0382 | 0.0042 |
| 155 VeneerPlywd | -4.32 | -2.60 | 0.0170 | 0.0433 | 189 BookPublish | -7.65 | -5.61 | 0.0304 | 0.0074 |
| 156 Structwood | -4.11 | -2.95 | 0.0043 | 0.0032 | 190 Bookprint | -7.44 | -2.64 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| 157 PrefabBlding | -6.08 | -3.70 | 0.0156 | 0.0143 | 191 MiscPublish | -5.67 | -3.36 | 0.0088 | 0.0049 |
| 158 Mobilehomes | -3.22 | -2.82 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 192 CommercPrnt | -5.23 | -3.06 | 0.0085 | 0.0114 |
| 159 Woodpreserv | -1.44 | -2.00 | 0.0011 | 0.0173 | 193 BusinessForm | -6.24 | -4.33 | 0.0190 | 0.0083 |
| 160 PalletsSkids | -5.82 | -2.41 | 0.0065 | 0.0213 | 194 Blankbooks | -4.69 | -4.39 | 0.0185 | 0.0055 |
| 161 Woodprodnec | -6.25 | -1.95 | 0.0201 | 0.0662 | 195 Greetingcard | -7.37 | -5.31 | 0.0267 | 0.0050 |
| 162 Reconstwood | 14.24 | 3.34 | 0.0153 | 0.1769 | 196 Bookbinding | -6.60 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 163 Containernec | -9.38 | -5.72 | 0.0292 | 0.0017 | 197 Typesetting | -5.31 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 164 HldfurnrUnup | -2.17 | -3.04 | 0.0263 | 0.0567 | 198 Platemaking | -4.91 | -2.68 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 |
| 165 Hhldfurnnec | -5.72 | -3.42 | 0.0141 | 0.0171 | 199 IndustChem | -4.79 | -5.27 | 0.0612 | 0.0927 |
| 166 TVcabinets | -7.49 | -2.58 | 0.0003 | 0.0017 | 200 CornEthanol | -4.57 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 167 HldfurnUp | -4.32 | -5.72 | 0.0334 | 0.0122 | 201 DDGS | -0.70 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 168 Metalhldfurn | -3.29 | -4.60 | 0.0209 | 0.0069 | 202 CellEthanol | -4.86 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 169 Mattresses | -4.81 | -3.86 | 0.0135 | 0.0052 | 203 AltEthanol | -4.86 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 170 WoodOffFurn | 1.06 | 3.15 | 0.0008 | 0.1358 | 205 OthEthanol | 0.00 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

[^4]Table 3.1 continued

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206 Ethanol | -4.86 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 240 BootCutStock | -1.34 | -6.72 | 0.0449 | 0.0182 |
| 207 MotorFuels | -4.94 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 241 ShoesExrub | -8.90 | -8.22 | 0.0949 | 0.1093 |
| 208 NitPhosFert | 3.04 | -2.05 | 0.0323 | 0.0948 | 242 Slippers | -3.34 | -4.14 | 0.0148 | 0.0022 |
| 209 Pesticidnec | -2.56 | -5.52 | 0.0309 | 0.0107 | 243 Leathrgloves | -7.61 | -5.27 | 0.0254 | 0.0025 |
| 210 GumWoodchm | -1.39 | -7.28 | 0.0472 | 0.0108 | 244 Luggage | -17.16 | -19.87 | 0.1764 | 0.0445 |
| 211 Adhesives | -8.54 | -5.66 | 0.0312 | 0.0080 | 245 WmnsHandbag | -15.08 | -23.38 | 0.1928 | 0.0044 |
| 212 Explosives | 0.78 | -4.91 | 0.0513 | 0.0761 | 246 PerLeathrGds | -5.75 | -8.06 | 0.0506 | 0.0015 |
| 213 PrintingInk | -10.08 | -6.35 | 0.0368 | 0.0062 | 247 LeathrGdsnec | -9.95 | -8.38 | 0.0660 | 0.0328 |
| 214 CarbonBlack | -12.70 | -5.11 | 0.0824 | 0.1501 | 248 Glass | -7.97 | -7.43 | 0.0506 | 0.0161 |
| 215 Chemicalsnec | -4.91 | -5.99 | 0.0387 | 0.0193 | 249 Glasscontain | -4.84 | -5.48 | 0.0300 | 0.0091 |
| 216 Plastics | -8.31 | -8.00 | 0.0797 | 0.0762 | 250 Cement | -0.05 | -2.59 | 0.0104 | 0.0269 |
| 217 SyntheticRub | -5.82 | -7.89 | 0.0599 | 0.0290 | 251 BrickClyTile | -4.67 | -3.81 | 0.0116 | 0.0018 |
| 218 CellMmdeFibr | -8.39 | -7.53 | 0.0453 | 0.0001 | 252 CeramicTile | -2.17 | -5.17 | 0.0237 | 0.0006 |
| 219 MmadeFibOth | -5.76 | -3.96 | 0.0248 | 0.0314 | 253 ClayRefract | -4.32 | -4.82 | 0.0317 | 0.0290 |
| 220 Drugs | -0.17 | -4.66 | 0.0284 | 0.0243 | 254 StrClyPrdnec | -2.45 | -3.64 | 0.0097 | 0.0008 |
| 221 Soap | -11.83 | -7.75 | 0.0541 | 0.0174 | 255 VitChinaPlmb | -6.43 | -10.73 | 0.0752 | 0.0014 |
| 222 Polishes | -6.24 | -3.15 | 0.0064 | 0.0038 | 256 VitChinaTble | -5.53 | -7.62 | 0.0460 | 0.0001 |
| 223 SurfActAgent | -8.69 | -9.86 | 0.0803 | 0.0345 | 257 Earthenware | -13.63 | -18.44 | 0.1457 | 0.0002 |
| 224 ToiletPrep | -8.05 | -6.76 | 0.0505 | 0.0311 | 258 PorclainElec | -7.00 | -6.91 | 0.0417 | 0.0055 |
| 225 Paints | -9.35 | -6.16 | 0.0372 | 0.0117 | 259 PottryPrdnec | -5.76 | -10.18 | 0.0722 | 0.0065 |
| 226 Gasoline | -4.93 | -2.78 | 0.0032 | 0.0042 | 260 ConcrtBrick | -2.96 | -3.11 | 0.0078 | 0.0084 |
| 227 Diesel | -2.24 | -0.89 | 0.0000 | 0.0401 | 261 ConcrtPrdnec | -1.72 | -3.86 | 0.0163 | 0.0123 |
| 228 OthPetFuels | -8.81 | -9.12 | 0.1037 | 0.1107 | 262 Readymix | -1.86 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 229 LubricatOils | -4.17 | -2.84 | 0.0020 | 0.0000 | 263 Lime | -2.57 | -3.06 | 0.0149 | 0.0272 |
| 230 PetClPrdnec | -6.99 | -9.52 | 0.0657 | 0.0055 | 264 GypsumPrd | -7.10 | -5.86 | 0.0332 | 0.0086 |
| 231 AsphaltPav | -0.97 | -3.05 | 0.0080 | 0.0103 | 265 CutStone | -8.93 | -3.93 | 0.0286 | 0.0419 |
| 232 AsphaltFelts | -3.82 | -5.57 | 0.0357 | 0.0216 | 266 AbrasivePrd | -5.48 | -5.42 | 0.0403 | 0.0367 |
| 233 Tires | -12.21 | -6.77 | 0.0986 | 0.1524 | 267 AsbestosPrd | -24.19 | -5.24 | 0.2197 | 0.4932 |
| 234 RubPlFootwr | -13.95 | -10.44 | 0.1222 | 0.1267 | 268 MineralsGrnd | -2.94 | -3.57 | 0.0128 | 0.0101 |
| 235 FabRubPrdnec | -5.57 | -4.72 | 0.0340 | 0.0370 | 269 MineralWool | -6.62 | -6.24 | 0.0455 | 0.0306 |
| 236 MiscPlPrdnec | -7.38 | -4.10 | 0.0244 | 0.0271 | 270 NonClayRefrc | -8.83 | -10.63 | 0.0872 | 0.0339 |
| 237 RubPlHose | -17.31 | -18.69 | 0.1841 | 0.0913 | 271 NonmtMinPrd | -1.96 | -2.00 | 0.0335 | 0.0988 |
| 238 Gaskets | -6.69 | -9.37 | 0.0861 | 0.0605 | 272 BlastFurnace | -8.12 | -9.23 | 0.0957 | 0.0881 |
| 239 LeatherTan | 0.48 | -3.99 | 0.0127 | 0.0001 | 273 ElectMetPrds | -19.70 | -14.56 | 0.1320 | 0.0558 |

[^5]Table 3.1 continued

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 274 SteelWire | -5.51 | -4.74 | 0.0595 | 0.1008 | 308 PlatingPolsh | -3.12 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 275 IronSteel | -7.33 | -2.67 | 0.0009 | 0.0012 | 309 Coating | -5.23 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 276 IronStlForg | -5.49 | -8.06 | 0.0501 | 0.0000 | 310 MisFabWirPrd | -6.01 | -4.90 | 0.0255 | 0.0116 |
| 277 MetalHeatTr | -6.31 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 311 SteelSpring | -10.58 | -11.87 | 0.1353 | 0.1265 |
| 278 PrimMetPrd | 0.32 | -3.96 | 0.0354 | 0.0582 | 312 PipeValves | -9.76 | -12.49 | 0.1041 | 0.0334 |
| 279 SmeltCopper | 8.68 | -3.15 | 0.0680 | 0.1594 | 313 MtlFoilLeaf | -5.56 | -3.89 | 0.0197 | 0.0203 |
| 280 PrimAluminum | 24.93 | 30.04 | 0.0559 | 0.8988 | 314 FabMtlPrdnec | -10.25 | -9.01 | 0.0885 | 0.0749 |
| 281 PrimNfMetnec | 8.17 | 2.92 | 0.0461 | 0.2447 | 315 Turbines | -4.68 | -12.76 | 0.1004 | 0.0179 |
| 282 ExtrudCopper | -9.94 | -5.96 | 0.0416 | 0.0273 | 316 IntCombusEng | -9.70 | -9.33 | 0.0680 | 0.0157 |
| 283 AluminumRoll | -14.41 | -8.51 | 0.0779 | 0.0597 | 317 FarmMachin | -19.88 | -17.18 | 0.2259 | 0.2318 |
| 284 NferRollnec | -1.46 | -8.77 | 0.0671 | 0.0265 | 318 GardenEquip | -8.36 | -7.73 | 0.0488 | 0.0045 |
| 285 NfWireDraw | -8.64 | -9.70 | 0.1222 | 0.1437 | 319 ConstMachin | -14.87 | -15.15 | 0.1262 | 0.0273 |
| 286 AluminCast | -8.20 | -2.66 | 0.0005 | 0.0004 | 320 MiningMachin | -10.68 | -13.89 | 0.1158 | 0.0303 |
| 287 NfForging | -5.42 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 321 OilGsFldMach | 12.02 | -2.10 | 0.0184 | 0.0585 |
| 288 MetalCans | -8.77 | -5.51 | 0.0288 | 0.0055 | 322 Elevators | -18.56 | -14.51 | 0.1349 | 0.0642 |
| 289 MetalBarrels | -19.21 | -14.91 | 0.1441 | 0.0782 | 323 Conveyors | -5.90 | -6.12 | 0.0331 | 0.0022 |
| 290 EnamSanWare | -4.83 | -6.01 | 0.0348 | 0.0091 | 324 Hoists | -18.05 | -14.72 | 0.1187 | 0.0187 |
| 291 PlumbFixFit | -6.71 | -5.19 | 0.0246 | 0.0025 | 325 IndTrukTrac | -15.21 | -12.12 | 0.1285 | 0.1035 |
| 292 HeatingEquip | -14.47 | -10.36 | 0.0948 | 0.0594 | 326 MachToolCut | -4.90 | -8.12 | 0.0618 | 0.0280 |
| 293 FabStrMetal | -4.62 | -2.71 | 0.0094 | 0.0216 | 327 MachToolForm | -3.77 | -6.09 | 0.0833 | 0.1295 |
| 294 MetalDoors | -5.62 | -3.43 | 0.0155 | 0.0204 | 328 SpecialDies | -5.47 | -5.55 | 0.0463 | 0.0487 |
| 295 FabPlateWork | -6.29 | -4.22 | 0.0249 | 0.0259 | 329 PdrivnHandTl | -13.82 | -13.46 | 0.1013 | 0.0038 |
| 296 SheetMtlWork | -5.73 | -2.85 | 0.0028 | 0.0019 | 330 Rolmilmach | 5.72 | -4.03 | 0.0304 | 0.0439 |
| 297 ArchMtlWork | -8.10 | -6.56 | 0.0413 | 0.0128 | 331 ElecGasWeld | -11.54 | -13.43 | 0.1176 | 0.0455 |
| 298 PrefabMtlBld | -10.39 | -8.55 | 0.0640 | 0.0239 | 332 IndPatterns | -7.61 | -3.64 | 0.0137 | 0.0111 |
| 299 MiscStMtlWrk | -8.10 | -6.46 | 0.0692 | 0.0854 | 333 MtlWorkMach | -6.10 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 300 ScrewMach | -8.48 | -6.79 | 0.0444 | 0.0153 | 334 FoodPrdMach | -8.87 | -9.99 | 0.0760 | 0.0206 |
| 301 AutoStamp | -9.61 | -5.93 | 0.0332 | 0.0069 | 335 TextMach | -2.26 | -6.14 | 0.0346 | 0.0056 |
| 302 Crowns | -18.38 | -17.90 | 0.1637 | 0.0581 | 336 WoodwrkMach | -8.63 | -6.02 | 0.0493 | 0.0452 |
| 303 MtlStampnec | -6.33 | -2.66 | 0.0010 | 0.0015 | 337 PaperIndMach | -5.20 | -6.06 | 0.0447 | 0.0329 |
| 304 Cutlery | -4.03 | -8.89 | 0.0592 | 0.0037 | 338 PrintMach | -13.39 | -13.07 | 0.1046 | 0.0213 |
| 305 Handtools | -9.15 | -6.92 | 0.0418 | 0.0058 | 339 SpecIndMach | -3.06 | -4.87 | 0.0465 | 0.0650 |
| 306 Handsaws | -4.37 | -6.02 | 0.0423 | 0.0277 | 340 PumpsCompres | -12.46 | -15.61 | 0.1400 | 0.0515 |
| 307 Hardwarenec | -11.32 | -6.91 | 0.0692 | 0.0749 | 341 Ballbearings | -7.42 | -8.05 | 0.0624 | 0.0314 |

[^6]Table 3.1 continued

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 342 Fans | -26.44 | -22.07 | 0.2184 | 0.0993 | 376 RecordTapes | -7.83 | -7.55 | 0.0847 | 0.0992 |
| 343 MecPwrTEqup | -13.39 | -14.92 | 0.1303 | 0.0431 | 377 Telephones | -0.94 | -6.79 | 0.0613 | 0.0579 |
| 344 Furnaces | -3.22 | -6.50 | 0.0539 | 0.0459 | 378 CommunEquip | -2.09 | -4.44 | 0.0284 | 0.0296 |
| 345 IndMachEquip | -7.59 | -12.09 | 0.1181 | 0.0780 | 379 ElectronTube | -3.58 | -3.05 | 0.0042 | 0.0004 |
| 346 PackagMach | -8.19 | -7.24 | 0.0788 | 0.0916 | 380 Semiconduct | 1.67 | -4.82 | 0.0237 | 0.0088 |
| 347 Carburetors | -7.49 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 381 OthElectronC | -1.67 | -3.67 | 0.0133 | 0.0093 |
| 348 FluidPwEquip | -10.87 | -7.40 | 0.0759 | 0.0805 | 382 StoragBatt | -12.99 | -11.11 | 0.0837 | 0.0140 |
| 349 Scales | -16.09 | -17.93 | 0.1595 | 0.0469 | 383 Primarybatt | -11.37 | -10.03 | 0.0713 | 0.0078 |
| 350 IndMachnec | 0.32 | -3.52 | 0.0096 | 0.0034 | 384 ElecteqICE | -14.42 | -14.77 | 0.1166 | 0.0120 |
| 351 Calculatmach | -7.30 | -4.91 | 0.0298 | 0.0218 | 385 Recordmedia | -19.78 | -23.91 | 0.2043 | 0.0210 |
| 352 Computers | -9.73 | -11.70 | 0.0939 | 0.0262 | 386 ElectMachnec | 4.49 | -1.87 | 0.0400 | 0.1184 |
| 353 ComPerEquip | -3.69 | -3.48 | 0.0144 | 0.0163 | 387 TruckBusBdy | -4.53 | -0.97 | 0.0236 | 0.0976 |
| 354 OffMachnec | -6.63 | -2.76 | 0.0040 | 0.0068 | 388 Trucktrailer | -25.18 | -21.03 | 0.1807 | 0.0283 |
| 355 VendingMach | -9.06 | -5.58 | 0.0313 | 0.0104 | 389 Motorvehicle | -5.78 | -1.59 | 0.0617 | 0.1794 |
| 356 ComLaundryEq | -26.36 | -22.14 | 0.1800 | 0.0009 | 390 MotvehParts | -10.95 | -11.00 | 0.1163 | 0.0990 |
| 357 RefrigHtEq | -10.27 | -6.70 | 0.0430 | 0.0139 | 391 Aircraft | -4.03 | -1.39 | 0.0049 | 0.0409 |
| 358 MeasurPump | -18.12 | -13.86 | 0.1053 | 0.0047 | 392 AircrftEngin | -4.68 | -3.84 | 0.0289 | 0.0446 |
| 359 ServIndMach | -6.28 | -3.54 | 0.0158 | 0.0184 | 393 AircrftEquip | 1.01 | -0.20 | 0.0136 | 0.0904 |
| 360 PowerTrnsfrm | -11.54 | -9.69 | 0.1190 | 0.1359 | 394 Shipbuild | -7.94 | -2.92 | 0.0028 | 0.0002 |
| 361 Switchboard | -6.25 | -6.31 | 0.0381 | 0.0105 | 395 Boatbuild | -11.55 | -6.20 | 0.0390 | 0.0153 |
| 362 Motors | -10.29 | -5.49 | 0.1323 | 0.2669 | 396 RailroadEq | -13.30 | -14.27 | 0.1211 | 0.0349 |
| 363 Relays | -6.45 | -6.29 | 0.0507 | 0.0425 | 397 Motorcycles | -8.22 | -6.98 | 0.0464 | 0.0157 |
| 364 Carbonprods | -5.67 | -9.16 | 0.0628 | 0.0066 | 398 TravelTraler | -24.91 | -19.60 | 0.1688 | 0.0317 |
| 365 ElectIndApp | -7.58 | -3.29 | 0.0066 | 0.0010 | 399 Motorhomes | -10.89 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 366 Hldcookequip | -8.61 | -9.06 | 0.0840 | 0.0625 | 400 TrnsprtEqnec | -24.77 | -23.84 | 0.1996 | 0.0108 |
| 367 Hldrefrig | -13.02 | -15.02 | 0.1164 | 0.0058 | 401 NavigEquip | -5.82 | -3.82 | 0.0143 | 0.0082 |
| 368 Hldlaundry | -7.93 | -7.01 | 0.0573 | 0.0426 | 402 LabApparat | -4.03 | -7.50 | 0.0478 | 0.0072 |
| 369 ElecHousware | -11.67 | -14.40 | 0.1175 | 0.0229 | 403 MechMeasur | -4.21 | -10.48 | 0.0846 | 0.0308 |
| 370 HldVacuumCl | -3.62 | -5.88 | 0.0481 | 0.0455 | 404 Environcontr | -6.01 | -5.13 | 0.0278 | 0.0118 |
| 371 HldApplianec | -15.08 | -14.69 | 0.1450 | 0.0853 | 405 MedicInst | 1.78 | -8.16 | 0.0553 | 0.0108 |
| 372 ElecLampbulb | -7.23 | -7.75 | 0.0602 | 0.0329 | 406 SurgiclAppl | 0.17 | -8.45 | 0.0577 | 0.0103 |
| 373 LightingFixt | -16.08 | -14.78 | 0.1354 | 0.0591 | 407 DentalEquip | -9.11 | -8.83 | 0.0587 | 0.0039 |
| 374 Wiringdevice | -3.80 | -11.12 | 0.0895 | 0.0284 | 408 Watches | -8.17 | -7.00 | 0.0409 | 0.0016 |
| 375 HldAudioVid | -7.48 | -11.13 | 0.0841 | 0.0145 | 409 XrayAppar | 2.31 | -8.58 | 0.0556 | 0.0018 |

Table 3.1 continued

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 410 ElctroMedApp | 5.02 | -6.85 | 0.0424 | 0.0086 | 444 CblePyTVserv | -4.08 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 411 LabInstrum | 1.54 | -8.30 | 0.0586 | 0.0158 | 445 RadioTVbroad | -4.90 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 412 InstrumElec | -4.94 | -10.75 | 0.0877 | 0.0323 | 446 Electricserv | -5.08 | -2.78 | 0.0032 | 0.0042 |
| 413 Ophthalmic | -10.98 | -10.92 | 0.0771 | 0.0016 | 447 NatgasTransp | -11.96 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 414 PhotoEquip | -4.41 | -3.39 | 0.0165 | 0.0237 | 448 NatgasDistrb | -5.13 | -2.68 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 |
| 415 Jewelry | -4.82 | -5.42 | 0.0290 | 0.0081 | 449 Watersupply | -2.01 | -2.63 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| 416 JewelMater | 1.75 | -3.81 | 0.0138 | 0.0071 | 450 Sanitaryserv | -5.00 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 417 Silverware | -10.22 | -10.06 | 0.0719 | 0.0086 | 451 WholesleTrde | -7.26 | -2.65 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 |
| 418 CostumJewel | -7.95 | -5.56 | 0.0283 | 0.0033 | 452 RetailTrade | -6.51 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 419 Musicalinstr | -6.11 | -4.29 | 0.0197 | 0.0110 | 453 Banking | -3.43 | -2.94 | 0.0029 | 0.0000 |
| 420 Games | -13.57 | -9.15 | 0.0642 | 0.0102 | 454 Creditagency | -3.83 | -2.67 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |
| 421 Dolls | -10.13 | -7.11 | 0.0433 | 0.0051 | 455 SecCombroker | -3.43 | -2.82 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 |
| 422 SportGdsnec | -8.44 | -5.12 | 0.0284 | 0.0136 | 456 InsurnceCarr | -3.08 | -2.60 | 0.0011 | 0.0031 |
| 423 Pens | -6.59 | -4.05 | 0.0138 | 0.0018 | 457 InsurnceBrok | -2.88 | -2.65 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 |
| 424 PencilsArt | -7.12 | -3.75 | 0.0117 | 0.0032 | 458 OwnoccDwell | 3.39 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 425 MarkingDevic | -5.92 | -3.55 | 0.0089 | 0.0009 | 459 RestateAgent | -3.63 | -2.66 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |
| 426 Carbonpaper | -6.28 | -7.15 | 0.0431 | 0.0038 | 460 Hotels | -2.78 | -2.63 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| 427 Fasteners | -5.68 | -5.55 | 0.0284 | 0.0034 | 461 Othlodging | -0.62 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 428 Brooms | -7.31 | -4.93 | 0.0256 | 0.0110 | 462 Laundry | -5.26 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 429 HrdsurFlrCov | -6.91 | -6.87 | 0.0611 | 0.0556 | 463 Funeralserv | -5.54 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 430 Burialcasket | -5.41 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 464 PortraitStud | -4.97 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 431 SignsAdvert | -8.64 | -3.41 | 0.0110 | 0.0093 | 465 ElectRepair | -4.92 | -2.63 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| 432 ManuIndnec | -7.88 | -5.82 | 0.0370 | 0.0190 | 466 WatchRepair | -5.11 | -2.65 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 |
| 433 Railroadserv | -5.24 | -2.69 | 0.0007 | 0.0001 | 467 Beautyshops | -5.37 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 434 PassengTrans | -3.89 | -2.63 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 468 MiscRepair | -3.20 | -3.01 | 0.0036 | 0.0000 |
| 435 TruckingServ | -7.38 | -2.66 | 0.0005 | 0.0004 | 469 ServtoDwell | -4.09 | -2.63 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| 436 WarehseStore | -4.67 | -2.86 | 0.0022 | 0.0000 | 470 PersonnelSup | -4.79 | -2.62 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 |
| 437 WaterTransLR | -18.49 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 471 ComputerServ | -4.55 | -2.68 | 0.0006 | 0.0001 |
| 438 WaterTransCD | -10.00 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 472 DetectiveSer | -4.69 | -2.65 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 |
| 439 AirTrans | -4.87 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 473 MiscEqRent | -4.47 | -2.74 | 0.0011 | 0.0000 |
| 440 PipelinExng | -2.64 | -2.88 | 0.0024 | 0.0000 | 474 ComPhoto | -5.18 | -2.73 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 |
| 441 FreightForw | -1.56 | -3.73 | 0.0102 | 0.0000 | 475 OthBusServ | -5.00 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 442 ArrangPTrans | -2.54 | -2.96 | 0.0031 | 0.0000 | 476 ManageServ | -2.90 | -2.89 | 0.0028 | 0.0008 |
| 443 TelephonCom | -5.02 | -2.63 | 0.0006 | 0.0013 | 477 ResearchDev | -4.59 | -2.69 | 0.0008 | 0.0003 |

[^7]Table 3.1 continued

| Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ | Commodity | USAGE | Fitted | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 478 Advertising | -4.83 | -2.52 | 0.0022 | 0.0079 | 507 JobTraining | -5.49 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 479 Legalserv | -3.84 | -2.71 | 0.0010 | 0.0003 | 508 ChildDaycare | -4.89 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 480 EngineerSer | -2.81 | -2.86 | 0.0029 | 0.0018 | 509 ResidCare | -5.22 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 481 AccountServ | -4.48 | -2.64 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 510 SocialSernec | -5.29 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 482 EatDrinkPlce | -4.90 | -2.63 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 511 PostalServ | -4.81 | -2.64 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 |
| 483 AutoRental | -5.32 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 512 OthFedGovEnt | -3.59 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 484 AutoRepair | -5.50 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 513 OthSLGentpr | -1.86 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 485 AutoPark | -4.23 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 517 GenGovInd | -5.08 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 486 Theatres | -6.94 | -5.80 | 0.0342 | 0.0123 | 518 Hhldind | -4.98 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 487 VideoTpeRent | -3.68 | -2.63 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 519 FGCEnatdef | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 488 TheatPrducer | -4.07 | -2.66 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 520 FGCEnondef | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 489 BowlingCentr | -3.89 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 521 SLCEpubSch | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 490 ProSportClub | -4.29 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 522 SLCEpubHied | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 491 Racing | -3.67 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 523 SLCEothedLib | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 492 MembSprtClub | -4.55 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 524 SLCEhealth | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 493 OthAmuseServ | -3.50 | -2.67 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 | 525 SLCEwelfare | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 494 DoctorsDent | -4.67 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 526 SLCEsanitat | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 495 Hospitals | -5.10 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 527 SLCEpolice | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 496 NursingFacil | -5.08 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 528 SLCEfire | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 497 HomeHealth | -5.26 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 529 SLCEcorrect | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 498 VetServ | -4.83 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 530 SLCEhighway | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 499 OthMedServ | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 531 SLCEnatural | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 500 Schools | -4.61 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 532 SLCEother | -4.95 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 501 CollegeUni | -3.90 | -2.63 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 533 Holiday | -4.50 | -1.90 | 0.0000 | 0.0167 |
| 502 Libraryetc | -2.69 | -2.60 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | 535 ExpTour | 7.82 | -6.64 | 0.0370 | 0.0000 |
| 503 BusinAssoc | -4.70 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 536 ExpEdu | 15.45 | -6.64 | 0.0370 | 0.0000 |
| 504 LaborOrgan | -4.57 | -2.65 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 538 WatInternat | -15.82 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 505 ReligiousOrg | -4.67 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | $0.0000$ | 539 AirInternat | -4.11 | -0.72 | 0.0000 | 0.0441 |
| 506 OthmemOrg | -4.89 | -2.62 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |

* Commodities in USAGE are numbered from 1 to 539. This table lists 533 commodities. The other six USAGE commodities are artificial, such as domestic production of noncomparable imports.
$S_{\text {exp }}(i)$ is the share of U.S. output of commodity $i$ that is exported to Canada;
$S_{\text {imp }}(i)$ is U.S. imports from Canada as a share of U.S. output of commodity $i$; and the $\alpha$ s are parameters to be estimated.

The resulting regression equation is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(i)=-2.62-108.64 * S_{\exp }(i)+43.09 * S_{\text {imp }}(i), \quad R^{2}=0.60 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As expected, outputs of commodities with high export shares to Canada are systematically reduced by cessation: the coefficient on $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ is negative. Outputs of commodities with a high ratio of imports from Canada to domestic output are systematically increased by cessation: the coefficient on $\mathrm{S}_{\text {imp }}$ is positive. These two shares, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {exp }}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{imp}}$, explain about 60 per cent of the variation in the USAGE output results across commodities.

This still leaves 40 per cent of the variation to be explained. Another way of looking at this is that we need to understand the gaps between the two lines in Figure 3.1. The smooth line shows the USAGE results for commodity outputs ranked from the worst affected at the left hand side to the most favorably affected at the right hand side. The jagged line shows fitted regression values from equation (3.2). The gaps reflect factors that USAGE knows about but the regression doesn't.

To start the process of locating these factors, we examine a few of the large gaps in Figure 3.1, beginning with Commercial fishing (commodity 27). The USAGE result (see Table 3.1) for this commodity is a contraction of 0.52 per cent. The fitted result is an expansion of 16.56 per cent, dominated by a very high ratio of imports from Canada to domestic output ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{imp}}=0.7785$ ). What does USAGE know that causes it to project that the disappearance of these imports will not be of great benefit to the U.S. Commercial fishing industry? Nearly 80 per cent of U.S. Commercial fishing output is exported to countries other than Canada. Thus for most of the U.S. Commercial fishing industry, competition with Canada inside the U.S. market is irrelevant. This is recognized by USAGE, but not by the regression.

Next we look at Copper smelting and Primary aluminum (commodities 279 and 280). The regression underestimates the USAGE result for Copper smelting. On the other hand (while not clear in Figure 3.1 but as can be seen from Table 3.1), the regression overestimates the result for Primary aluminum. A difference between the two industries that is understood by USAGE, but not by the regression, is that Primary aluminum (280) has a considerable reliance on imported inputs from Canada. Its costs are seriously escalated by lack of availability of these inputs. This reduces U.S. exports of Primary aluminum to countries other than Canada. By contrast, Copper smelting has low reliance on imported inputs from Canada. At the same time, it faces considerable competition in the U.S. market from nonCanadian imports. While our regression accounts for competition from Canadian imports, it does not account for competition from non-Canadian imports. Because cessation of Canada/U.S. trade is accompanied by U.S. devaluation, industries such as Copper smelting that face strong competition from non-Canadian imports receive a benefit in the USAGE simulation that is missed in the regression.

Figure 3.1. Commodity output effects (\%) of Canada/U.S. trade cessation: USAGE \& fitted results from equation (3.2)
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The final pair of products that we will consider here are Water transport lakes and rivers (437) and Water international (538). Neither of these commodities is directly exported to or imported from Canada ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{exp}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{imp}}=0$ ) or any other country. Consequently their fitted value in the regression is the constant, -2.62. However USAGE knows that these commodities act as margins facilitating trade. Thus with the elimination of trade with Canada and the contraction of trade more generally, USAGE correctly projects poor prospects for these commodities.

The process of comparing USAGE and fitted results for individual commodities can encompass any commodity of interest to a policy maker or analyst, and additional variables can be included on the right hand side of the explanatory regression equation. On the basis of the analysis of Figure 3.1 conducted in this section, we can see that candidates for inclusion in the regression are: the share of production costs of the U.S. product accounted for by inputs from Canada; the share of non-Canadian imports in the U.S. market; and the indirect connection of a commodity with trade via sales to exporting activities.

## 4. State dependence on Canada/U.S. trade

The first two columns of Table 4.1 show the employment losses (numbers of jobs and percentage) by state that would occur with cessation of Canada/U.S. trade. These state effects were calculated by applying the USAGE regional extension to the results generated at the national level and described in previous sections. The theory of the regional extension is set out in Dixon et al. (2007). In distributing results from the national level to the states, the regional extension takes account of three factors. The most important is the industrial composition of activity in each state. If employment in a state is heavily concentrated in industries that are relatively harmed by the national shock under consideration (in this case a cessation of Canada/U.S. trade) then the regional extension will generate relatively large negative results for that state. The second factor is interstate trade. If a state relies heavily on exports to states that are strongly negatively impacted by the shock under consideration, then on this account the regional extension will generate negative effects for that state. Finally, the regional extension encompasses local multiplier effects. If traded-goods industries ${ }^{4}$ in a state are relatively badly affected by the first two factors, then in the regional extension, nontraded-goods industries (e.g. Retail trade) will also be relatively badly affected.

The most striking feature of the employment results in Table 4.1 is that every state loses jobs from cessation of Canada/U.S. trade. These losses range from 1.54 per cent in Oklahoma to 7.20 per cent in South Carolina. A state need have no direct connection with Canada/U.S. trade to experience significant job losses. This is because the states of the U.S. are closely linked by interstate trade and movements of labor and capital. Thus, negative effects for one state flow on to negative effects for other states.

What explains the differences in employment effects between states? The most obvious explanation is the first factor taken into account in the regional extension: differences between states in their mix of industries. To test the importance of this factor, we regress the percentage employment results in Table 4.1 against a national index worked out for state r as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { NationalIndex }(\mathrm{r})=\Sigma_{\mathrm{j}} \operatorname{Sh}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{r}) \times \operatorname{emp}(\mathrm{j}) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{r})$ is the share of employment in state r accounted for by production of good j ; and emp( j$)$ is the percentage change in national employment in the production of $j$.

[^8]Table 4.1 Employment effects by state of Canada/U.S. trade cessation: USAGE results and explanatory variables

|  |  | Millions of \% effect on <br> jobs employment |  | National index | Port index | Tourism index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | Alabama | -0.1064 | -4.26 | -4.63 | 0.18 | 0.19 |
| 2 | Alaska | -0.0158 | -3.52 | -3.91 | 0.23 | 0.97 |
| 3 | Arizona | -0.1173 | -3.66 | -4.38 | 0.26 | 1.38 |
| 4 | Arkansas | -0.0667 | -4.31 | -4.68 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| 5 | California | -1.1213 | -5.67 | -4.51 | 3.06 | 1.59 |
| 6 | Colorado | -0.1027 | -3.25 | -4.09 | 0.21 | 0.67 |
| 7 | Connecticut | -0.0885 | -4.06 | -4.55 | 0.23 | 0.35 |
| 8 | Delaware | -0.0230 | -4.36 | -4.41 | 1.84 | 0.38 |
| 9 | Florida | -0.5356 | -5.43 | -4.63 | 1.64 | 4.28 |
| 10 | Georgia | -0.3266 | -6.19 | -4.75 | 1.58 | 0.48 |
| 11 | Hawaii | -0.0305 | -3.66 | -4.71 | 1.55 | 18.60 |
| 12 | Idaho | -0.0308 | -3.51 | -4.33 | 0.18 | 0.41 |
| 13 | Illinois | -0.2991 | -4.11 | -4.67 | 0.23 | 0.49 |
| 14 | Indiana | -0.1804 | -5.10 | -5.02 | 0.18 | 0.25 |
| 15 | Iowa | -0.1036 | -5.30 | -4.99 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| 16 | Kansas | -0.0619 | -3.43 | -4.10 | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| 17 | Kentucky | -0.0964 | -4.12 | -4.68 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| 18 | Louisiana | -0.0876 | -3.44 | -3.82 | 0.72 | 0.45 |
| 19 | Maine | -0.0292 | -3.65 | -4.49 | 0.21 | 0.89 |
| 20 | Maryland | -0.1733 | -5.15 | -4.67 | 0.80 | 0.29 |
| 21 | Massachusetts | -0.1618 | -3.92 | -4.51 | 0.38 | 1.02 |
| 22 | Michigan | -0.2180 | -4.32 | -5.01 | 0.20 | 0.29 |
| 23 | Minnesota | -0.1615 | -4.72 | -4.81 | 0.20 | 0.37 |
| 24 | Mississippi | -0.0618 | -4.14 | -4.43 | 0.35 | 0.14 |
| 25 | Missouri | -0.1422 | -4.07 | -4.67 | 0.19 | 0.25 |
| 26 | Montana | -0.0233 | -3.74 | -4.29 | 0.19 | 0.53 |
| 27 | Nebraska | -0.0535 | -4.36 | -4.56 | 0.18 | 0.22 |
| 28 | Nevada | -0.0603 | -4.03 | -4.32 | 0.46 | 4.54 |
| 29 | New Hampshire | -0.0328 | -4.02 | -4.63 | 0.21 | 0.47 |
| 30 | New Jersey | -0.2276 | -4.59 | -4.62 | 0.24 | 0.39 |
| 31 | New Mexico | -0.0319 | -3.00 | -3.93 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| 32 | New York | -0.5575 | -5.08 | -4.59 | 1.81 | 1.74 |
| 33 | North Carolina | -0.2307 | -4.43 | -4.61 | 0.29 | 0.35 |
| 34 | North Dakota | -0.0231 | -4.60 | -4.63 | 0.18 | 0.38 |
| 35 | Ohio | -0.2867 | -4.44 | -4.80 | 0.19 | 0.27 |
| 36 | Oklahoma | -0.0330 | -1.54 | -2.97 | 0.16 | 0.15 |
| 37 | Oregon | -0.1112 | -5.05 | -4.44 | 0.81 | 0.54 |
| 38 | Pennsylvania | -0.3158 | -4.42 | -4.59 | 0.38 | 0.46 |
| 39 | Rhode Island | -0.0271 | -4.62 | -4.78 | 0.21 | 0.48 |
| 40 | South Carolina | -0.1766 | -7.20 | -4.68 | 3.63 | 0.60 |
| 41 | South Dakota | -0.0253 | -4.54 | -4.69 | 0.19 | 0.31 |
| 42 | Tennessee | -0.1601 | -4.52 | -4.83 | 0.20 | 0.33 |
| 43 | Texas | -0.3522 | -2.47 | -3.36 | 0.66 | 0.55 |
| 44 | Utah | -0.0727 | -4.45 | -4.52 | 0.20 | 0.65 |
| 45 | Vermont | -0.0142 | -3.39 | -4.35 | 0.22 | 1.06 |
| 46 | Virginia | -0.2671 | -5.61 | -4.59 | 1.63 | 0.28 |
| 47 | Washington | -0.2305 | -6.07 | -4.48 | 3.38 | 0.68 |
| 48 | West Virginia | -0.0312 | -3.44 | -3.88 | 0.17 | 0.18 |
| 49 | Wisconsin | -0.1449 | -4.21 | -4.82 | 0.19 | 0.34 |
| 50 | Wyoming | -0.0090 | -2.32 | -3.32 | 0.19 | 0.71 |
| 51 | District of Columbia | -0.0366 | -4.44 | -4.58 | 0.38 | 4.19 |
|  | Total or average | -7.8767 | -4.53 | -4.53 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

The National index, shown in the third column of Table 4.1, gives the effect on employment in each state under the assumption that the national result for each industry applies in each state. This assumption would mean that the percentage change in employment in industry j in state $r$ is emp( j ) for all states r .

The outcome of the regression with the National index is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Emp_S(r) }=3.289+1.697^{*} \text { NationalIndex }(r), \quad r \in \text { REG } \quad \text { R-squared }=0.52 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
Emp_S(r) is the percentage change in employment in state $r$ [column (2) of Table 4.1); and
REG is the set of 50 states and the District of Columbia.
In (4.2), the coefficient on NationalIndex(r) has expected sign. Its magnitude (1.697) is also plausible. It indicates multiplier effects. If state r has a mix of industries that give it an initial 1 per cent employment loss relative to the national percentage loss, then r's eventual employment loss is 1.697 per cent relative to the national percentage loss. This multiplier effect arises because the sourcing of inputs (especially service inputs) by industries in state r is skewed towards suppliers in state r. However, NationalIndex(r) explains only 52 per cent of the variation across the states in the USAGE employment results. As illustrated in Figure 4.1, there must be other factors contributing to the state employment effects.

On studying Figure 4.1, we see that regression equation (4.2) strongly over-predicts the USAGE employment results for South Carolina, Washington, Florida, Oregon, New York and California. A factor that these six states have in common is major ports. In our USAGE simulation, a state is harmed by having a major port via the general trade-contracting effects of the cessation of Canada/U.S. trade. The idea that ports are the missing factor in the NationalIndex explanation of the USAGE state employment results is strengthened by (4.2)'s under-prediction of employment results for Idaho, Tennessee, Vermont, Kentucky, Arkansas and Wisconsin. These states have no major ports. On this basis we decided to add a port index to our regression explanation of the USAGE results. The index we chose was a ratio of two shares: the state's share of U.S. trade going through its ports and the state's share of national employment. The values of this index are in the fourth column of Table 4.1. With the Port index included, our regression equation becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Emp_S(r) }=3.137+1.567 * \text { NationalIndex(r) }-0.664^{*} \text { PortIndex(r), } \quad \mathrm{r} \in \text { REG } \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { R-squared }=0.84
$$

The Port index enters the regression with the expected sign and raises R -squared to 0.84 . Nevertheless, as can be seen from Figure 4.2, our explanation of the state employment results is still incomplete. For example, regression equation (4.3) strongly under-predicts the USAGE employment result for Hawaii.

A key feature of the Hawaiian economy is over representation of international tourism. In the USAGE simulation, cessation of Canada/U.S. trade is good for international tourism to the U.S. This is because devaluation makes U.S. vacations cheaper for foreigners. This favorable effect for tourist destinations such as Hawaii is taken into account in USAGE but are not fully recognized in regression equation (4.3). In USAGE there is no direct employment in the tourism industries. These industries simply supply a package of hotel, entertainment, restaurant and travel services. Consequently, favorable movements in the output of the tourism industries enter the national index in only a muted way through their effects on employment in hotels, etc. The regression (but not USAGE) fails to recognize that states in which hotels, etc. are used mainly in international tourism activities benefit in the

Figure 4.1. Employment effects of cessation of Canada/U.S. trade explained by a one-variable regression: equation (4.2)


Figure 4.2. Employment effects of cessation of Canada/U.S. trade explained by a two-variable regression: equation (4.3)


USAGE simulation relative to regions in which hotels, etc. are used mainly for other purposes.

Thus we decided to add a tourism index to our regression equation. This is calculated for state $r$ as the ratio of r's share in international tourism activities to r's share in national employment. The values of this index are in the fifth column of Table 4.1. With the inclusion of the Tourism index, the regression equation becomes:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Emp_S(r) }=3.229+1.603^{*} \text { NationalIndex(r) }-0.725 * \text { PortIndex }(r)+0.086 * \text { TourismIndex }(\mathrm{r}) \\
\mathrm{r} \in \text { REG, R-squared }=0.89
\end{array}
$$

The Tourism index improves the overall fit of the regression equation and moves the fitted value for Hawaii close to the USAGE result (compare Figures 4.2 and 4.3).

At this stage, the gaps between the fitted values and the USAGE results are quite small, see Figure 4.3. Thus we judge that (4.4) is an adequate explanation of the USAGE results.

## 5. U.S. employment in U.S. affiliates of Canadian companies

Trade is not the only way in which Canada supports jobs in the U.S. As can be seen from BEA statistics reproduced in Table 5.1, 474 thousand U.S. residents are employees in Canada's majority-owned U.S. affiliates. This represents 0.39 per cent of total employee jobs in the U.S. ${ }^{5}$

Jobs in Canada's majority-owned affiliates in the U.S. are concentrated in Manufacturing, Information, and Finance \& insurance . In each of these sectors Canada's majority-owned affiliates provide between 1.17 and 1.36 per cent of U.S. employment.

At the state level, Table 5.2 shows that the state with the least dependence on Canadian affiliates to provide employment is Rhode Island. For this state, the Canadianaffiliate share in employment is 0.07 per cent. At the other end of the spectrum is Delaware where Canadian affiliates account for 1.31 per cent. Other states that have significant dependence on Canadian affiliates for employment (more than 0.5 per cent) are Kansas, Nevada, Maine, New Hampshire, Minnesota, Alaska, Massachusetts, Arizona, South Dakota, Wisconsin, Alabama, Vermont and Washington.

[^9]Figure 4.3. Employment effects of cessation of Canada/U.S. trade explained by a three-variable regression: equation (4.4)


Table 5.1. Employees by sector in 2009: millions of jobs (and percentages of affiliates in sectoral employment)

|  | Total U.S. <br> employees $^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |  | Employees in foreign <br> affiliates $^{(\mathrm{b})}$ |  | Employees in <br> Canadian affiliates |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| (c) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Source: NIPA Table 6.5d available from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) website at http://www.bea.gov/national/nipaweb/TableView.asp?SelectedTable=197\&Freq=Year\&FirstYear=20 09\&LastYear=2010 , downloaded on April 22, 2012.
${ }^{(b)}$ Source: Data in Table II.F3 on employees by sector in foreign-owned affiliates in the U.S. available on the BEA website at http://www.bea.gov/international/fdius2009 preliminary.htm , downloaded on April 22, 2012.
${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ Source: Data in Table II.F3 on employees by sector in Canadian-owned affiliates in the U.S. available on the EA website at http://www.bea.gov/international/fdius2009_preliminary.htm , downloaded on April 22, 2012.

## 6. Concluding remarks

In 2010 Canada was the biggest market for U.S. exports and the second biggest source of U.S. imports, behind China. Trade with Canada has a profound effect on the U.S. economy. Our simulation with the USAGE model suggests that about 7.88 million jobs in the U.S. depend on Canada/U.S. trade.

Nearly 90 per cent of U.S. industries would suffer output loss if Canada/U.S. trade ceased. Output loss is easy to explain for industries that have a heavy dependence on exports to Canada. For other industries, output losses would reflect increases in the cost of their inputs caused by the unavailability of imports from Canada. Industries with little or no direct connection with Canada would suffer from the overall contraction in the U.S. economy. The main group of industries that would gain from cessation of Canada/U.S. trade are those that have little dependence on imports from Canada, export little to Canada and face significant import competition from Canadian products in the U.S. market. Another group of winning industries are those that are trade-exposed but do not have direct connection with Canada. These industries would gain from real devaluation.

With the number of losing industries far outweighing the number of winning industries, it is not surprising that our simulation shows that every state and the District of Columbia would lose from a cessation of Canada/U.S. trade. Job losses in several states

Table 5.2. Employees by state in 2009: millions of jobs (and percentages of affiliates in sectoral employment)

|  |  | Total U.S. employees | Employees in foreign affiliates ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |  | Employees in Canadian affiliates ${ }^{(b)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alabama | 1.819 | 0.073 | (4.013\%) | 0.0096 | (0.528\%) |
| 2 | Alaska | 0.296 | 0.010 | (3.378\%) | 0.0020 | (0.676\%) |
| 3 | Arizona | 2.007 | 0.075 | (3.737\%) | 0.0132 | (0.658\%) |
| 4 | Arkansas | 1.110 | 0.030 | (2.703\%) | 0.0026 | (0.234\%) |
| 5 | California | 14.002 | 0.567 | (4.049\%) | 0.0373 | (0.266\%) |
| 6 | Colorado | 2.095 | 0.082 | (3.914\%) | 0.0099 | (0.473\%) |
| 7 | Connecticut | 1.556 | 0.107 | (6.877\%) | 0.0061 | (0.392\%) |
| 8 | Delaware | 0.366 | 0.034 | (9.290\%) | 0.0048 | (1.311\%) |
| 9 | Florida | 6.336 | 0.261 | (4.119\%) | 0.0243 | (0.384\%) |
| 10 | Georgia | 3.530 | 0.171 | (4.844\%) | 0.0089 | (0.252\%) |
| 11 | Hawaii | 0.566 | 0.030 | (5.300\%) | 0.0008 | (0.141\%) |
| 12 | Idaho | 0.564 | 0.014 | (2.482\%) | 0.0018 | (0.319\%) |
| 13 | Illinois | 5.454 | 0.259 | (4.749\%) | 0.0223 | (0.409\%) |
| 14 | Indiana | 2.721 | 0.117 | (4.300\%) | 0.0087 | (0.320\%) |
| 15 | Iowa | 1.441 | 0.050 | (3.470\%) | 0.0037 | (0.257\%) |
| 16 | Kansas | 1.321 | 0.052 | (3.936\%) | 0.0165 | (1.249\%) |
| 17 | Kentucky | 1.714 | 0.075 | (4.376\%) | 0.0044 | (0.257\%) |
| 18 | Louisiana | 1.855 | 0.046 | (2.480\%) | 0.0049 | (0.264\%) |
| 19 | Maine | 0.573 | 0.031 | (5.410\%) | 0.0063 | (1.099\%) |
| 20 | Maryland | 2.292 | 0.104 | (4.538\%) | 0.0086 | (0.375\%) |
| 21 | Massachusetts | 2.993 | 0.202 | (6.749\%) | 0.0200 | (0.668\%) |
| 22 | Michigan | 4.119 | 0.126 | (3.059\%) | 0.0153 | (0.371\%) |
| 23 | Minnesota | 2.440 | 0.099 | (4.057\%) | 0.0181 | (0.742\%) |
| 24 | Mississippi | 1.119 | 0.021 | (1.877\%) | 0.0037 | (0.331\%) |
| 25 | Missouri | 2.592 | 0.080 | (3.086\%) | 0.0068 | (0.262\%) |
| 26 | Montana | 0.413 | 0.006 | (1.453\%) | 0.0008 | (0.194\%) |
| 27 | Nebraska | 0.874 | 0.024 | (2.746\%) | 0.0027 | (0.309\%) |
| 28 | Nevada | 0.875 | 0.036 | (4.114\%) | 0.0097 | (1.109\%) |
| 29 | New Hampshire | 0.566 | 0.041 | (7.244\%) | 0.0055 | (0.972\%) |
| 30 | New Jersey | 3.456 | 0.241 | (6.973\%) | 0.0152 | (0.440\%) |
| 31 | New Mexico | 0.729 | 0.015 | (2.058\%) | 0.0034 | (0.466\%) |
| 32 | New York | 7.634 | 0.473 | (6.196\%) | 0.0336 | (0.440\%) |
| 33 | North Carolina | 3.605 | 0.178 | (4.938\%) | 0.0114 | (0.316\%) |
| 34 | North Dakota | 0.335 | 0.011 | (3.284\%) | 0.0011 | (0.328\%) |
| 35 | Ohio | 5.065 | 0.196 | (3.870\%) | 0.0138 | (0.272\%) |
| 36 | Oklahoma | 1.505 | 0.031 | (2.060\%) | 0.0050 | (0.332\%) |
| 37 | Oregon | 1.549 | 0.042 | (2.711\%) | 0.0031 | (0.200\%) |
| 38 | Pennsylvania | 5.144 | 0.254 | (4.938\%) | 0.0206 | (0.400\%) |
| 39 | Rhode Island | 0.426 | 0.025 | (5.869\%) | 0.0003 | (0.070\%) |
| 40 | South Carolina | 1.695 | 0.092 | (5.428\%) | 0.0038 | (0.224\%) |
| 41 | South Dakota | 0.380 | 0.007 | (1.842\%) | 0.0021 | (0.553\%) |
| 42 | Tennessee | 2.557 | 0.103 | (4.028\%) | 0.0073 | (0.285\%) |
| 43 | Texas | 8.988 | 0.395 | (4.395\%) | 0.0314 | (0.349\%) |
| 44 | Utah | 1.008 | 0.027 | (2.679\%) | 0.0019 | (0.188\%) |
| 45 | Vermont | 0.291 | 0.009 | (3.093\%) | 0.0015 | (0.515\%) |
| 46 | Virginia | 3.240 | 0.150 | (4.630\%) | 0.0057 | (0.176\%) |
| 47 | Washington | 2.599 | 0.092 | (3.540\%) | 0.0133 | (0.512\%) |

Table 5.2 continues ...

Table 5.2 continued

|  |  | Total U.S. <br> employees | Employees in foreign <br> affiliates ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |  | Employees in Canadian <br> affiliates ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 48 | West Virginia | 0.673 | 0.018 | $(2.675 \%)$ | 0.0017 | $(0.253 \%)$ |
| 49 | Wisconsin | 2.514 | 0.068 | $(2.705 \%)$ | 0.0136 | $(0.541 \%)$ |
| 50 | Wyoming | 0.239 | 0.008 | $(3.347 \%)$ | 0.0007 | $(0.293 \%)$ |
| 51 | Dist. of Columbia | 0.612 | 0.022 | $(3.595 \%)$ | 0.0011 | $(0.180 \%)$ |

${ }^{(a)}$ Source: Data on employees by state (and sector) in foreign-owned affiliates in the U.S. is in Table II.F7, available on the Bureau of Economic Analysis website at http://www.bea.gov/international/fdius2009_preliminary.htm , downloaded on April 22, 2012.
${ }^{(b)}$ Source: Table II.F 8. Employment of Affiliates, State by Country of UBO http://www.bea.gov/international/fdius2009_preliminary.htm , downloaded on July 27, 2012.
(c) Includes a small number of U.S. employees in Canadian affiliates in U.S. areas other than the states and DC.
would exceed 5 per cent (California, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, New York, Oregon, South Carolina, Virginia and Washington).

While less important than trade, Canadian direct investment is also a significant source of jobs in the U.S., particularly in Manufacturing, Information, and Finance \& insurance. All together, about 470 thousand U.S. residents are employees in Canadian-majority-owned affiliates operating in the U.S. This is about 0.39 per cent of U.S. employees. At the state level, dependence on Canadian-majority-owned affiliates varies between 0.07 per cent in Rhode Island and 1.31 per cent in Delaware.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ U.S. employment in 2010 was 173.8 million jobs. The number of employed people on average through the year was about 142 million.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The number of jobs in the U.S. in 2008 was higher than in 2010.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ For USAGE applications by the U.S. International Trade Commission see USITC (2004, 2007, 2009).

[^3]:    Table 3.1 continues ...

[^4]:    Table 3.1 continues ...

[^5]:    Table 3.1 continues ...

[^6]:    Table 3.1 continues ...

[^7]:    Table 3.1 continues ...

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ These are industries that produce goods that are traded across state or international boundaries.

[^9]:    ${ }^{5}$ In the summary we mentioned that employment in the U.S. was 173.8 million jobs in 2010. Table 5.1 shows a total of 121.86 million jobs in the U.S. This latter number refers to employees, it excludes self-employment jobs. Another difference is that the data in Table 5.1 are for 2009, whereas those referred to in earlier sections are for 2010.

